AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF Michael E. Molina for the Master (Science in Biology presented May 13, 1983.

Title: Seasonal and Annual Variation of Coral-Reef Fishes on th Upper Reef Slope at Guam.

Amesbury, Chairman, Thesis Committee

Approved:

Coral-reef fishes were monitored monthly at four upper reef-slop depths (5, 9, 18 and 30 m) at two locations at Guam between Septembe 1979 and November 1980. Overall fish density increased markedly a all depths during the spring and summer months, corresponding to th onset of the rainy season and the diminishing of the tradewinds Maximum abundances were recorded between May and July. A less pro nounced increase in fish abundance occurred in the fall. Most of the observed seasonal variation in fish abundance resulted from juvenil recruitment and the movements of subadults and adults of a relativel small group of abundant species at each depth. Planktivores, pisci vores and benthic-invertebrate feeders, primarily in deeper water were largely responsible for the spring/summer peak, while the fal increase was significantly influenced by herbivorous fishes at shal Fluctuations of fish abundance may be related t lower depths. variations in the availibility of food resources. Climatological and oceanographical phenomena may have favorably influenced food resourd availability as well as reproductive success during certain month: Estimates of site- and depth-related annual variation in fish abund dance and species composition of 35 ubiquitous fish species indicate relative constancy over extensive areas of reef. Fish species rich ness was found to be greatest at 18 m. An explanation for this tree in species richness based on the "intermediate disturbance hypothesis is offered. TO THE GRADUATE SCHOOL AND RESEARCH

The members of the Committee approve the thesis of Michael E Molina presented May 13, 1983.

Chairman teven Amesbury Birkeland, Member Ε.

Member nter,

ACCEPTED:

Touda

Roy T. Tsyda Dean, Graduate School and Research

<u>May 13, 19</u> 1 Daté

SEASONAL AND ANNUAL VARIATION OF CORAL-REEF FISHES ON THE UPPER REEF SLOPE AT GUAM

by

MICHAEL E. MOLINA

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE in BIOLOGY

University of Guam May 1983

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to my committee members for their most helpfu guidance, patience and support.

I am particularly indebted to Harry T. Kami of the Division (Aquatic and Wildlife Resources, Department of Agriculture, Governmen of Guam, for providing logistical support throughout the study.

Dr. Roy T. Tsuda provided very constructive comments and valuab literature. Robert F. Myers helped identify some of the fish specie and contributed pertinent literature. Dr. Theo A. Dillaha III au Russell N. Clayshulte helped with computer programming. Tom Yoshie provided access to climatological data on Guam.

Special thanks are due to Ronald D. Strong for his assistance establishing the transect stations; and to Michael J. Wilder for thus use of valuable office equipment.

I thank all my dive partners, especially R. Logan Kock, Alan Hosmer, Jr., Henry Tucker, Steve Katnik, Don Morris, Dave Hendrick: Deborah Longstaff, Lynda Hilbert, and Tom Molina.

Deborah Longstaff also provided limitless encouragement an invaluable moral support.

Kathy Saison patiently typed the manuscript.

Drs. Alfred W. Ebeling and Mark A. Hixon have my supreme admirtion and gratitude for imparting to me the personal inspiration thultimately led to this study.

ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																														P	зç
ACKNO	WLE	DGE	ME	NT	S	•		•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•		•	•	•	•		•	•					•	•	•		i
LIST	0F	TAE	LE	S	•	•	•	•			•	•		•	•	•	•		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i
LIST	0F	FIC	UR	ES		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		٠	•	•	•		•		•	•	•		•	٧
INTRO	DUC	TIC	N		•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•		•	
METHO	DS.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	Stu	dy	Si	te	S	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		
	Dat	nse a A	ina	3 الا	se	τı S	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	:	•	:	•	•	•	:	•	:	•	:	:	:	:	•	1
RESUL	TS.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	Sea Ann	sor ual	al V	V ar	ar ia	ia ti	iti or	ior	۱. •	:	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	:	•	:	•	•	:	•	•	1 6
DISCU	ISSI	ON	• *		•	•	•	•	•	•				2 4 .0		•	•	•		•		•	•		•	•	7 • 0	•			7
REFER	ENC	ES	CI	TE	D			•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•			•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	8

LIST OF TABLES

T	able		Paç
	1.	Comparison of general study site characteristics between Asar Pt. and Ipao Pt., Guam	1
	2.	Fish species seen on Asan Pt. transects from September 1979 through November 1980	. 1
	3.	Fish species seen on Ipao Pt. transects from September 1979 through November 1980	. 2
	4.	Most influentially abundant fish species (\geq 500 individuals) counted at each transect station during the entire study	. 3
	5.	Overall most abundant fish species (listed among the top 15 most abundant species at each station) counted during the study in order of total abundance	. 3
	6.	Most ubiquitous fish species (counted at 7 or 8 transect stations) seen during the study in order of total abundance.	- . E
	7.	General trophic categories to which the members of the most ubiquitous species group were assigned	. E
	8.	Number of species per general trophic category (within the most ubiquitous species group) that peaked in mean abundance at each transect depth	. E
	9.	Expanded list of carnivores counted during the study based or ubiquity across all transect stations, and listed in order or total abundance	n f
	10.	Expanded list of herbivores counted during the study based on ubiquity across all transect stations, and listed in order or total abundance	n f • E
	11.	Two-by-two test of independence using X^2 (Sokal and Rohlf 1969) to determine if overall fish abundance of equal numbers of carnivores and herbivores was significantly greater during the wet season (\bar{x} rainfall \geq 12.5 cm/month)	. (
	12.	Two class tests of independence using X^2 (Sokal and Rohlf 1969) to determine if either carnivores or herbivores were significantly more abundant during the wet season (\overline{x} rainfall ≥ 12.5 cm/month).	. (

iv

Table

13.	Tests of independence for greater than two classes using X ² (Sokal and Rohlf 1969) to determine if fish abundance of either carnivores or herbivores was significantly greater during quarterly periods of the year.	£
14.	Two-by-two test of independence using the G-statistic (Sokal and Rohlf 1969) to determine if fish abundance of equal numbers of carnivores and herbivores was significantly greater during quarterly periods of the year	E
15.	Annual variation (AV) in ranked abundances of the 35 most ubiquitous fish species observed at Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt	7
16.	Annual variation in species composition of the 35 most ubiquitous fish species observed at Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt. as estimated by the Jaccard Coefficient (J)	7
17.	Annual variation in species composition of the 35 most ubiquitous fish species observed at Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt. as estimated by the Resemblance Index (R)	7
18.	Comparison of annual variation (AV) in ranked species abun- dances calculated for some organisms living in different geographical areas and climatic regimes	٤

Paç

LIST OF FIGURES

Figu	re	Ρ	ag
1.	Map of Guam showing the locations of the Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt. study sites		
2.	Monthly fluctuations in overall fish abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m ²) recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth		2
3.	Monthly fluctuations in fish abundance (mean numbers of individuals/100 m²) recorded at 5-m depth by study site		2
4.	Monthly fluctuations in fish abundance (mean numbers of individuals/100 m²) recorded at 9-m depth by study site	•	2
5.	Monthly fluctuations in fish abundance (mean numbers of individuals/100 m²) recorded at 18-m depth by study site		3
6.	Monthly fluctuations in fish abundance (mean numbers of individuals/100 m²) recorded at 30-m depth by study site		3
7.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Chrysiptera leucopomus</u> recorded from Septem- ber 1979 through November 1980 by depth		3
8.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Thalassoma quinquevittatum</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	•	3
9.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Stegastes fasciolatus</u> recorded from Septembe 1979 through November 1980 by depth	er •	3
10.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Plectroglyphidodon dickii</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	•	3
11.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Acanthurus nigrofuscus</u> recorded from Septem- ber 1979 through November 1980 by depth	•	3
12.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Ctenochaetus striatus</u> recorded from Septembe 1979 through November 1980 by depth	er •	4

Figure

Figu	re	Pa
13.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Scarus psittacus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	L
14.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Scarus sordidus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	L
15.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Pomachromis guamensis</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	Ł
16.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m²) of <u>Dascyllus reticulatus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	L
17.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	٤
18.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Pomacentrus vaiuli</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	L
19.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m²) of <u>Chrysiptera traceyi</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	L
20.	Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individ- uals/100 m ²) of <u>Plectroglyphidodon lacrymatus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth	L
21.	Number of representative peak abundances recorded each month (based on maximum mean monthly counts) within the most ubiquitous species group	Ę
22.	Number of representative peak abundances recorded each month (based on maximum mean monthly counts) among carnivores, herbivores and omnivores within the most ubiquitous species group	Ę
23.	Number of representative peak abundances recorded each month (based on maximum mean monthly counts) among equal numbers of carnivores and herbivores within an expanded ubiquitous species group	ı

Figure

25.	Actual and expected (based on 21 years of data) mean monthly	
	windspeed on the island of Guam for the months of September	
	1979 through November 1980 recorded by the U. S. Naval	
	Oceanography Command Detachment, U. S. Naval Air Station,	
	Guam	6

Paç

INTRODUCTION

While the study of marine reef fish assemblages has progresse rapidly within the last three decades (Harry 1953; Brock 1954; Bardac 1959; Randall 1963, 1965; Talbot 1965; Talbot and Goldman 1972 Bradbury and Goeden 1974; Goldman and Talbot 1976), it has be ϵ primarily during the past ten years that increased emphasis had bee given to studying the patterns of seasonal and annual variation c these species. Seasonality in spawning behavior among coral-ree fishes has been documented in many areas including the Caribbea (Munro et al. 1973; Powles 1975), Hawaii (Miller et al. 1979), th South China Sea (Vatanachi 1972), the Indian Ocean (Wourms and Bayr 1973), the Great Barrier Reef (Russell et al. 1974, 1977) and Micrc nesia (Johannes 1978). Seasonal patterns in recruitment of juvenil reef fishes have been recorded in the Caribbean (Luckhurst ar Luckhurst 1977), the Gulf of California (Molles 1978), Hawaii (Watsc and Leis 1974), Guam (Kami and Ikehara 1976; Kock 1982), the Red S€ (Gunderman and Popper 1975) and most intensively, the Great Barrie Reef (Sale and Dybdahl 1975, 1978; Russell et al. 1977; Talbot et al 1978; Williams and Sale 1981). Studies of the patterns of annua variability and stability of reef-fish assemblages are much mor limited in number (Smith and Tyler 1973, 1975; Thomson and Lehne 1976; Thompson and Schmidt 1977; Sale 1978, 1980a; Ebeling et al 1980).

The problem of accurately interpreting observed variations in the sizes of reef-fish populations was noted by Ehrlich (1975), and the value of understanding such variations in natural fish communities from a fisheries management perspective was discussed by Cushin (1975) and Larkin (1978). Sale (1980a) discussed the current diffculties associated with assessing the temporal persistence of cora reef-fish assemblages, and Wolda (1978) stressed the importance of investigating the patterns of annual fluctuations in abundance amon tropical populations from a theoretical point of view. Thus, studie of seasonal and annual patterns of variation in reef-fish communitie may contribute to our understanding of basic principles underlying the functioning of coral-reef ecosystems, as well as provide potentia practical insight into certain processes of fishery dynamics such a reproduction and recruitment.

During 1978 and 1979, the Guam Department of Agriculture Divisic of Aquatic and Wildlife Resources (DAWR) used a steel barge artificia reef to increase the available fish habitat near the 18-m dept contour on the upper reef slope. During that project, fish count made over a period of 20 months on the barge and along line transect permanently placed over surrounding areas of natural reef were used 1 monitor changes in the fish community. A result of this study was th documentation of a marked seasonal fluctuation in total fish abundanc over the natural reef areas (Kock 1982). Seasonal increases amor certain species were attributed partly to the immigration of adult and older juveniles, and partly to juvenile recruitment. Although r spawning peaks were observed, maximum settlement of juveniles occurre between March and June, and the highest overall fish abundance wa recorded in May. Lowest overall abundance occurred during the winte months and reached similar levels in both years. Annual variabilit in species composition of the fish community was not examined. Sinc it would be quite useful for fisheries managers and other ecologist to know how seasonal and annual fluctuations in fish abundance ar manifested at different depths on the upper reef slope, the presen investigation was undertaken. The objective of this study is t document the patterns of seasonal and annual variability within th conspicuous upper reef-slope fish community as they are manifeste along a depth-related environmental gradient over a 15-month tim period.

METHODS

Study Sites

Guam is located within the tropical Indo-West Pacific region a lat. 13°28'N and long. 144°45'E. It is the southernmost of the Mariana Islands and is largely surrounded by a fringing coral reet Fishes were monitored on the upper reef slope at Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt on the leeward (western) side of the island (Fig. 1). In this study references to reef zones found on the upper reef slope follow the definitions of Tracey <u>et al</u>. (1964) and include the reef front submarine terrace and seaward slope. In a general assessment of the major structural elements of Guam's coastline, Randall and Holloma (1974) stated that these zones in the Asan area are very similar to those found near Ipao Pt. Table 1 outlines a comparison of genera characteristics of the study sites based on information from thes sources, as well as from Emery (1962) and from personal observatior made during the study.

The most apparent overall structural difference noted betwee study sites was the width of the submarine terrace. This zone extenc to a greater maximum depth and is more than twice as wide at Asan Pt than at Ipao Pt. Because of this, the deepest monitoring static (30 m) at Asan was positioned near the seaward limit of the terrace while at Ipao it was situated on the seaward slope. At both sites th coral community showed evidence of past disturbance from <u>Acanthaste</u> predation.



Figure 1. Map of Guam showing the locations of the Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt. study sites. 1. = Asan Pt.; 2. = Ipao Pt.

Table 1.	Comparison	of	general	study	site	characteristics	betwee
	Asan Pt. an	d Ip	bao Pt.,	Guam.			

	ASAN PT.	IPAO PT.
Reef Flat:		
Width	Approx. 600 m	Approx. 500 m
Reef Front:		
Exposure Width Maximum Depth Transect Depth	Approx. NNN Approx. 50 m Appr Appr	W at transects Approx. 80 m rox. 6 m rox. 5 m
Relief	Submarine-channel and H 2-6 m deep with holes S moderate relief due to to 3-4 m high on seaway	buttress system; channels 5-15 m dia. on inner half scattered prominances up rd half.
Substrate	Channel floors covered by accumulations of coarse sand and gravel with scattered large boulders; buttresses covered by scattered coral heads and coral- algal knobs of moderate density with thin veneo of unconsolidated sedi- ments and turf algae.	Channel floors cover ed by accumulations of coarse sand and gravel with scattere large boulders; but- tresses covered by coral heads, coral- algal knobs and er pinnacles of moder- ate high density with isolated pock- ets of sediments and turf algae.

Submarine Terrace:

Width	Approx. 190 m	Approx. 70 m
Maximum Depth	Approx. 35 m	Approx. 20 m
Transect Depths	Approx. 9, 18 and 30 m	Approx. 9 and 18 m
Relief	Relief due to prominances to seaward half of reef fr seaward half (1-2m) with a (1 m deep) and occasional 3-5 m high.	on inner half similar ront; less relief on few shallow channel: prominances up to

Substrate	Inner part with coral heads and coral-algal knobs of moderate-high density; middle part with decreasing coral density surrounded by unconsolidated sedi- ments; outer part of mostly unconsolidated sediments with widely scattered coral heads and coral-algal knobs.	Inner part with cora heads, coral-algal knobs and pinnacles of moderate density outer part with scar tered coral heads ar coral-algal knobs or moderate density sur rounded by flat pave ment and a few shal- low channels contair ing a thin layer of
		sand and gravel.

Seaward Slope:

Avg. Slope Maximum Depth Transect Depth	Approx. 38° Approx. 50 m None	Approx. 45° Approx. 35 m Approx. 30 m
Relief	Lower relief found on the marine terrace; at Asan Pt relatively featureless; at steep with shallow channel very widely scattered pinn 2-3 m.	slope than on the sub . slope is steep and Ipao Pt. slope is s (1 m deep) and a fe acles as high as
Substrate	Mostly covered by un- consolidated sediments with occasional coral heads and/or coral-algal knobs.	Low coral growth wit scattered coral-alga knobs and occasional pinnacles, and a few shallow channels cor tiguous with those c outer part of subma- rine terrace but cor taining a thicker layer of sand and gravel.

Since small channels are located on the reef flats near eac site, both locations can easily be reached by small boat. Thi facilitated data collection but also opened these areas to greate exploitation by offshore anglers and divers. Nonetheless, fishin effort observed in the vicinity of the transects during the study wa There were only two instances at Asan Pt. when actua minimal. fishing was observed. These included seeing two scuba divers wit spearguns just below the 9-m transect and seeing two divers wit handnets searching for aquarium fish at approximately 15 m. At Ipa Pt. only one instance occurred when a fisherman, using two wire fis traps, was encountered in the general area. In addition, monthly DAW interviews of offshore anglers and divers returning to the Agana Boa Basin after fishing near either study site were relatively few bot before and during the study period (unpub. data and pers. obs.) Based on these observations, the fishing pressures that occurred a both sites during the study were estimated to be relatively light an comparable in degree.

Transect Stations

During the spring of 1979, modified line transect stations wer permanently established at both study sites. At each location dupli cate 50-m transects were placed along approximate depth contours of 5 9, 18 and 30 m. A transect consisted of six unconnected rebar stakes each about 38 cm in length, embedded into reef rock at 10-m intervals A two-pound sledge hammer and a 10-cm star drill were used to star the holes for the stakes which were then hammered in tightly. Al stakes were flagged with a piece of yellow plastic marking tape t make them easier to locate on subsequent field days.

In preparation for the data collection phase of the study preliminary dives were made on the transects intermittently betwee June and August 1979. These dives enabled me to become familiar nc only with the locations of the transects and the individual stakes but also with the conspicuous fish species commonly found at eac station. This time period also provided an opportunity for me t become skilled in the use of the submersible microcassette tape dec upon which the data for the study were to be recorded. Both th plexiglass housing for the tape deck and the special scuba regulatc mouthpiece containing the remote microphone were manufactured unde the name "Wet-Tape" by Sound-Wave Systems, Inc.

Formal monthly fish counts were begun in September 1979. Dat collection was limited to the time between 1000 and 1400 hrs. Count of individuals were made for all fish species observed within tw meters above the substrate and within one meter to either side c myself as I swam from stake to stake. Dives were limited to a maximu of two depths per day, normally paired as 30 and 5 m, and 18 and 9 m On a single field day, both 50-m transects at each of the two depth were censused twice (down and back). This resulted in monthly count of fish over a combined total of 400 m² of reef at each depth.

Four to six field days per month were required to census the transects. A 4-m Zodiac inflatable boat with either a 6-hp or 12-h outboard engine were used throughout the study to get to and from the study to get to

transect sites. Data collection was terminated with the November 19{ censuses.

Data Analyses

Some of the counts made at the 30-m depth had to be adjuste before analysis because of the permanent failure of the Wet-Tag recording system after August 1980. Because of the relatively show no-decompression time limit (25 minutes) for dives to 30 meters, ar since it took longer to write down observations than it did to spea them, there was sufficient safe bottom time to record data on only or pass along these transects during the last three months of the study These counts were, therefore, adjusted to reflect the number (individuals per 400 m² before being analyzed. Also, the recordin system failed temporarily in January 1980, resulting in the loss (data and the deletion of that month from the analysis. To make the data load more manageable, a conservatively selected subset of ubigu. tous fish species was formed for closer analysis. Species composir this group were selected if they were counted on at least seven of the eight transect stations. The 35 species that qualified were used 1 compare seasonal climatological patterns with observed seasona fluctuations in fish abundance.

Representative seasonal peak abundances for each of the mos ubiquitous species were identified by their maximum mean monthl counts. In cases where a species had maximum mean monthly count equal in two or more months, the month flanked by the greatest repre sentation, when the data for the two flanking months were averaged was chosen. Depths of greatest representation were chosen for each of

these species based on the highest mean number per depth when the counts for all months were combined. In cases where a species had it greatest overall mean representation equal at two or more depths, th depth with the single largest mean monthly count was chosen.] addition, each of the 35 most ubiquitous species was assigned to general trophic category based on Hiatt and Strasburg (1960), Jone (1968), Randall and Klausewitz (1973), Hobson (1974), Allen (1975) and Ogden and Lobel (1978). Thus, trends in peak abundances acros depths and over months could be related to general food habits Observed changes in overall fish abundance were compared with seasona patterns of average monthly rainfall based on 24 years of Guam precip itation data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administratic (NOAA) (1979, 1980) and with seasonal shifts in average monthly win patterns based on 21 years of unpublished data provided by the U. S Naval Oceanography Command Detachment (NOCD), U. S. Naval Air Station Guam. To examine further the influences carnivores and herbivores ma have had on the overall counts during different periods of the year these trophic groups were expanded to consist of 28 species each These species included the carnivores and herbivores within the mos ubiquitous group, as well as additional species which occurred fre quently and were of notable abundance.

Estimates of the annual variability in fish species abundanc between consecutive years were calculated for the most ubiquitou species group according to the method of Wolda (1978). The formula i as follows:

$$\log R = \log N_i - \log N_{i-1}$$

where, N_i equals the number of individuals of a species counted during a particular month in 1980,

 N_{i-1} equals the number of individuals of the same species counted in the same month in 1979,

and, R, the net reproductive rate (Andrewartha and Birch 1954) or the gradation coefficient (Benedek 1970), equals a ratio expressing the change in abundance from one year to the next.

Log R's were computed individually for the most ubiquitous species ar averaged to provide an estimate of the average net change in specie abundance (\overline{R}) for the group as a whole. The magnitude of this chang was estimated by the variance of the log R's and is expressed a annual variability (AV) (Wolda 1978) in numbers of fish per specie between consecutive years. If nearly as many species increased a decreased in abundance between years, \overline{R} would have a value near zerc and, if the magnitude of these changes was small, AV would also b relatively low.

Values of AV were calculated for each study site based on th most ubiquitous species counts which were lumped across depths. AV' were computed separately for the site-specific September, October an November data and were averaged to give a mean value per site (1600 π of reef). Annual variability (AV) at each depth was calculated usin the same 35 species by lumping the data from both sites and computin separate values for September, October and November. The resultin values of AV were averaged across months to obtain mean values p depth (800 m² of reef).

Annual variation in species composition within the most ubiqu tous species group was estimated for each transect depth and stu site by two commonly used similarity indices. These include t following:

1)
$$J = \frac{a}{a + b + c}$$

where a equals the number of species recorded during the same month in both 1979 and 1980,

b equals the number of species recorded during a particular month in 1980, but not during that month in 1979,

and c equals the number of species recorded during a particular month in 1979, but not during that month in 1980 (Sokal and Sneath 1963); and

2) R =
$$\frac{C}{T_1} + \frac{C}{T_2} \times 0.5$$

where C equals the number of species recorded during the same month in both 1979 and 1980,

 T_1 equals the number of species recorded during the particular month in 1979,

and T_2 equals the number of species recorded during the same month in 1980 (Smith 1973).

RESULTS

Fish counts were made during 112 dives over the 15-month perio from September 1979 through November 1980. Fishes belonging to 3 families were recorded on the transects, with 25 (76%) of them havin been represented at both study sites. Among the 200 fish specie observed at all stations, 131 (66%) were seen at both sites. Mor species were present in the Ipao counts (176) than in the Asan count (155), with 45. and 24 species restricted to each site, respectively All fish species counted during the study are listed by site and dept in Tables 2 and 3. At both study sites more species were counted a 18 m than at any other depth, but overall mean fish abundance wa greatest at 9 m.

Seasonal Variation

An increase in overall fish abundance occurred at all depth during the spring and summer months, with maximum monthly count recorded in May, June and July (Fig. 2). Maximum overall fish abundance was encountered earlier in the year at the deeper transects (3) and 18 m counts peaked in May) than at the shallower transects (9 and 5 m counts peaked in June and July, respectively). Although there were some variations in these seasonal trends at each depth at the two survey areas, in general the patterns at Asan and Ipao were similar (Figs. 3 through 6). However, the fluctuations observed at the two

FAMILY/SPECIES	5	DE 9	PTH (m) 18	3(
ACANTHURIDAE (Surgeonfishes)				
Acanthurus glaucopareius Cuvier A. <u>lineatus</u> (Linnaeus)	X X	X	X)
A. <u>mata</u> cuvier A. <u>nigrofuscus</u> (Forsskal) A. <u>olivaceus</u> Bloch & Schneider A. <u>pyroferus</u> Kittlitz	x	x x	X X X	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
A. <u>triostegus</u> (Linnaeus) <u>Ctenochaetus striatus</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Naso brevirostris</u> (Valenciennes)	X X	X X	X X	>
N. <u>lituratus</u> (Bloch & Schneider) * <u>Paracanthurus</u> <u>hepatus</u> (Linnaeus) <u>Zebrasoma flavescens</u> (Bennett) 7. veliferum (Bloch)	Х	X X X	X X X X	> >
APOGONIDAE (Cardinalfishes)				
* <u>Apogon novemfasciatus</u> Cuvier <u>Cheilodipterus quinquelineatus</u> (Cuvier)			Х	>
AULOSTOMIDAE (Trumpetfishes)				
<u>Aulostomus chinensis</u> (Linnaeus)	Х			>
BALISTIDAE (Triggerfishes)				
Balistapus undulatus (Park) Balistoides conspicillum (Bloch & Schneider)	X	Х	X X X	> > >
<u>Melichthys vidua</u> (Solander) <u>*Odonus niger</u> (Ruppell) <u>Pseudobalistes flavomarginatus (Ruppell</u>)	X	Х	x x x	>
* <u>Rhinecanthus echarpe</u> (Lacepede) <u>Sufflamen bursa</u> (Bloch & Schneider) <u>S. chrysopterus</u> (Bloch & Schneider)	X X X	X X	X X	>
BLENNIIDAE (Blennies)				
<u>Aspidontis taeniatus</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Ecsenius bicolor</u> (Day) <u>Meiacanthus atrodorsalis</u> (Gunther) Plagiotremus tapeinosoma (Bleeker)	X X X	X X X	X X X	×

Table 2. Fish species seen on Asan Pt. transects from September 19: through November 1980; * = seen only on Asan Pt. transects.

FAMILY/SDECIES	5	DE	PTH (m)	3(
			10	
CARACANTHIDAE (Velvetfishes)				
* <u>Caracanthus</u> maculatus (Gray)		х		
CARANGIDAE (Jacks, Pompanos)				
*Caranx melampygus Cuvier		Х		
CHAETODONTIDAE (Butterflyfishes)				
<u>Chaetodon auriga</u> Forsskal <u>C. citrinellus</u> Cuvier C. ephippium Cuvier	X X	X X	Х	
<u>C. lunula (Lacepede)</u> C. mertensii Cuvier	Х		x	2
C. ornatissimus Cuvier	Х	v		ļ
C. reticulatus Cuvier	X	X X	X X	,
C. trifasciatus Park		Х	N/)
C. ulietensis Cuvier		Х	X	,
Forcipiger flavissimus Jordan & McGregor F. longirostris (Broussonet) Hemitaurichthys polylepis (Bleeker)	Х	X)))
Heniochus chrysostomus Cuvier		Х	X	
Megaprotodon trifascialis (Quoy & Gaimard)			~	;
CIRRHITIDAE (Hawkfishes)				
Cirrhitichthys falco Randall		X	Х	;
Neocirrhites armatus Castelnau Paracirrhites arcatus (Cuvier)	Y	X Y	Y	
<u>P. forsteri</u> (Bloch & Schneider)	x	x	X	
GOBIIDAE (Gobies)				
<u>Nemateleotris magnifica</u> Fowler		X	Х	;
Pogonoculius zebra Fowler Pterelectris evides (Jordan & Hubbs)	X X	X	x	
Valenciennea strigatus (Brousonnet)	x	X	X	3
HOLOCENTRIDAE (Squirrelfishes)				
<u>Adioryx caudimaculatus</u> (Ruppell) <u>Flammeo sammara</u> (Forsskal)				2

LABRIDAE (Wrasses) <u>Anampses caeruleopunctatus</u> Ruppell <u>A. meleagrides</u> Valenciennes <u>A. twisti (Bleeker)</u> <u>Bodianus axillaris (Bennett)</u> <u>Cheilinus chlorourus (Bloch)</u> <u>C. fasciatus (Bloch)</u> <u>C. unifasciatus Gunther</u> <u>C. trilobatus Lacepede</u> <u>C. undulatus Ruppell</u> <u>Cirrhilabrus sp.</u> <u>Coris gaimard (Quoy & Gaimard)</u> <u>Epibulus insidiator (Pallas)</u> <u>Gomphosus varius Lacepede</u> <u>Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz</u> <u>H. hortulanus (Lacepede)</u> <u>H. margaritaceus (Valenciennes)</u> <u>H. marginatus Ruppell</u> <u>H. marginatus Ruppell</u> <u>H. sp.</u>	X X X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X	
Anampses caeruleopunctatus Ruppell A. meleagrides Valenciennes A. twisti (Bleeker) Bodianus axillaris (Bennett) Cheilinus chlorourus (Bloch) C. fasciatus (Bloch) C. fasciatus (Bloch) C. unifasciatus Gunther C. trilobatus Lacepede C. undulatus Ruppell Cirrhilabrus sp. Coris gaimard (Quoy & Gaimard) Epibulus insidiator (Pallas) Gomphosus varius Lacepede Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz H. hortulanus (Lacepede) H. margaritaceus (Valenciennes) H. marginatus Ruppell H. sp.	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X	
Anampses <u>Caeruleopunctatus</u> Ruppell A. <u>meleagrides</u> Valenciennes A. <u>twisti</u> (Bleeker) <u>Bodianus axillaris</u> (Bennett) <u>Cheilinus chlorourus</u> (Bloch) <u>C. fasciatus</u> (Bloch) <u>C. unifasciatus</u> Gunther <u>C. trilobatus</u> Lacepede <u>C. undulatus</u> Ruppell <u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>Coris gaimard</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Epibulus insidiator</u> (Pallas) <u>Gomphosus varius</u> Lacepede <u>Halichoeres biocellatus</u> Schultz <u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) <u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) <u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell <u>H. sp.</u>	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X	
A. <u>twisti</u> (Bleeker) Bodianus axillaris (Bennett) <u>Cheilinus chlorourus</u> (Bloch) <u>C. fasciatus</u> (Bloch) <u>C. unifasciatus</u> Gunther <u>C. trilobatus</u> Lacepede <u>C. undulatus</u> Ruppell <u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>Coris gaimard</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Epibulus insidiator</u> (Pallas) <u>Gomphosus varius</u> Lacepede <u>Halichoeres biocellatus</u> Schultz <u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) <u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) <u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell <u>H. sp.</u>	X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X	
Bodianus AxillarisAxillaris(Bennett)Cheilinus Cheilinus chlorourus(Bloch)C.fasciatus fasciatus fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation fundation	X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X	
<u>Cheilinus chlorourus</u> (Bloch) <u>C. fasciatus</u> (Bloch) <u>C. unifasciatus</u> Gunther <u>C. trilobatus</u> Lacepede <u>C. undulatus</u> Ruppell <u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>Coris gaimard</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Epibulus insidiator</u> (Pallas) <u>Gomphosus varius</u> Lacepede <u>Halichoeres biocellatus</u> Schultz <u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) <u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) <u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell <u>H. sp.</u>	X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X	
C. <u>fasciatus</u> (Bloch) C. <u>unifasciatus</u> Gunther C. <u>trilobatus</u> Lacepede C. <u>undulatus</u> Ruppell <u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>Coris gaimard</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Epibulus insidiator</u> (Pallas) <u>Gomphosus varius</u> Lacepede <u>Halichoeres biocellatus</u> Schultz <u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) <u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) <u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell H. <u>sp.</u>	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X	X X X X X	
C. <u>trilobatus</u> Lacepede C. <u>undulatus</u> Ruppell Cirrhilabrus sp. Coris gaimard (Quoy & Gaimard) Epibulus insidiator (Pallas) Gomphosus varius Lacepede Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz H. <u>hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) H. <u>margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) H. <u>marginatus</u> Ruppell H. sp.	X X X X X X	X X X X X X X X	X X X X	
C. <u>undulatus</u> Ruppell <u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>Coris gaimard</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Epibulus insidiator</u> (Pallas) <u>Gomphosus varius Lacepede</u> <u>Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz</u> <u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) <u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) <u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell <u>H. sp.</u>	x X X X	× × × × ×	X X	
<u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>Coris gaimard</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Epibulus insidiator</u> (Pallas) <u>Gomphosus varius Lacepede</u> <u>Halichoeres biocellatus</u> Schultz <u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) <u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) <u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell <u>H. sp.</u>	X X X X	X X X X	X X X	
Coris gaimard (Quoy & Gaimard) Epibulus insidiator (Pallas) Gomphosus varius Lacepede Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz H. hortulanus (Lacepede) H. margaritaceus (Valenciennes) H. marginatus Ruppell H. sp.	X X X	X X X	X	
Epibulus insidiator (Pallas) Gomphosus varius Lacepede Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz H. hortulanus (Lacepede) H. margaritaceus (Valenciennes) H. marginatus Ruppell H. sp.	X X X	X X	Y	
Gomphosus varius Lacepede Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz H. hortulanus (Lacepede) H. margaritaceus (Valenciennes) H. marginatus Ruppell H. sp.	X x	Х	~	
Halichoeres biocellatus Schultz <u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede) <u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) <u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell H. sp.	x			
H. <u>margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes) H. <u>marginatus</u> Ruppell H. sp.	X	v	Х	
H. <u>marginatus</u> Ruppell H. sp.	Ŷ	×		
H. sp.	Ŷ	Ŷ	x	
	X	~	x	
Hemigymnus melapterus (Bloch)	Х	Х		
Hologymnosus doliatus (Lacepede)	Х	Х	Х	
<u>Labroides bicolor</u> Fowler & Bean	X	X		
L. dimidiatus (Valenciennes)	Х	X	Х	
Labropsis micronesica Randali		X	v	
Macropharyngodon meleagris (Valenciennes)		x	Ŷ	
*Novaculichthys taenjourus (Lacepede)		X	x	
Pseudocheilinus evanidus Jordan & Evermann		Х	Х	
P. hexataenia (Bleeker)		Х	Х	
<u>Stethojulis bandanensis</u> (Bleeker)	Х	X	Х	
Thalassoma amblycephalum (Bleeker)	X	X	v	
T. Jutescens (Lay & Bennett)	X V	X	X	
*Labrid sp. 1	Ŷ	^		
*Labrid sp. 2	•		Х	
LETHRINIDAE (Emperors)				
* <u>Monotaxis grandoculis</u> (Forsskal)				
LUTJANIDAE (Snappers)				
*Lutjanus bohar (Forsskal				

MALACANTHIDAE (False Whitings)				
* <u>Malacanthus</u> brevirostris Guichenot			Х	
MONACANTHIDAE (Filefishes)				
* <u>Cantherhines dumerili</u> (Hollard) <u>C. pardalis</u> (Ruppell) <u>Paraluteres prionurus</u> Bleeker <u>P. melanocephalus</u> (Bleeker)	X	x x	X X X X	
MUGILOIDIDAE (Sand Perches)				
Parapercis clathrata Ogilby	Х	Х	Х	Х
MULLIDAE (Goatfishes)				
Mulloidichthys flavolineatus (Lacepede) Parupeneus bifasciatus (Lacepede) P. chryserydros (Lacepede) P. pleurostigma (Bennett) P. trifasciatus (Lacepede)	X X X X X	X X	X X X X	× × ×
MURAENIDAE (Moray Eels)				
*Lycodontis richardsoni (Bleeker)		Х		
OSTRACIONTIDAE (Boxfishes, Cowfises)				
Ostracion meleagris Shaw		Х		>
POMACANTHIDAE (Angelfishes)				
Apolemichthys trimaculatus (Cuvier)	Х	Х	x	
* <u>Centropyge Dicolor</u> Bloch <u>C. flavissimus</u> (Cuvier) <u>C. heraldi</u> Woods & Schultz <u>C. shepardi</u> Randall & Yasuda <u>Pygoplites</u> diacanthus (Boddaert)	X	Х	X X X X)))
POMACENTRIDAE (Damselfishes)				
Amphiprion clarkii (Bennett) Chromis acares Randall & Swerdloff		X X	X	
C. <u>margaritifer</u> Fowler C. <u>margaritifer</u> Fowler Chrysiptera leucopomus (Lesson)	X X	X X	X	

ļ

EAMTLY/SDECIES	5	DE	PTH (m)	31
	J			
C. traceyi (Woods & Schultz)	х			
Dascyllus reticulatus (Richardson)	Х	Х	Х	
D. trimaculatus (Ruppell)	X	v	X	
*P imparimennis (Vaillant & Sauvage)	X	٨		
P. johnstonianus Fowler & Ball	x	Х	Х	
P. lacrymatus (Quoy & Gaimard)		Х	Х)
<u>Pomacentrus vaiuli</u> Jordon & Seale	X	X	X)
Pomachromis guamensis Allen & Larson	X Y	Χ Υ	X)
<u>Stegastes Tasciolatus</u> (Ugilby)	^	^		
SCARIDAE (Parrottisnes)				
*Bolbometopon muricatus (Valenciennes)	X	Y	v	
Scarus brevifilis (Gunther)	Х	Ŷ	~	
S. ghobban Forsskal)
S. gibbus Ruppell		X	Х	
*S. <u>oviceps</u> Valenciennes	v	X	X	、
S rubroviolaceus (Bleeker)	X	Ŷ	x	,
S. schlegeli (Bleeker)	x	x	x	>
S. sordidus Forsskal	Х	Х	Х	>
SCORPAENIDAE (Scorpionfishes)				
* <u>Synanceia</u> verrucosa Bloch & Schneider			Х	
SERRANIDAE (Groupers)				
*Cephalopholis argus (Bloch & Schneider))
<u>C. urodelus</u> (Bloch & Schneider)	Х	Х	Х	>
Epinephelus fasciatus (Forsskal)		Х	Х)
Variola louti (Forsskal))
IGANIDAE (Rabbitfishes)				
Siganus argenteus (Duov & Gaimard)			x	>
CUNODONTIDAE (Lizzadfiches)				ĺ
STRUDURTIDAE (LIZARUTISNES)				
<u>Synodus variegatus</u> (Lacepede)		Х	Х	>

······································				
FAMILY/SPECIES	5	9	18	30
TETRAODONTIDAE (Smooth Puffers)				
Arothron nigropunctatus (Bloch & Schneider) Canthigaster bennetti (Bleeker) C. coronata (Vaillant & Sauvage)	х	x	х	>
<u>C</u> . <u>janthinoptera</u> (Bleeker) <u>C. solandri</u> (Richardson) <u>C</u> . valentini (Bleeker)	Х	X X	X X	X
ZANCLIDAE (Moorish Idols)				
Zanclus cornutus (Linnaeus)	Х	Х	Х	Х
Total No. Families 29 Total No. Species 155	17 78	21 92	21 96	23 80

:

FAMILY/SPECIES	5	9	DEPTH 18	(m) 3(
ACANTHURIDAE (Surgeonfishes)				
Acanthurus glaucopareius Cuvier A. <u>lineatus</u> (Linnaeus)	X X	X X	х	;
A. <u>nigrofuscus</u> (Forsskal) A. <u>olivaceus</u> Bloch & Schneider	X	Ŷ	X X)
<u>A. triostegus</u> (Linnaeus) <u>Ctenochaetus binotatus</u> Randall	Ŷ	^ V	X	,
Naso annulatus (Quoy & Gaimard) N. brevirostris (Valenciennes)	X	X X X	x	,)
N. <u>hexacanthus</u> (Bleeker) N. <u>lituratus</u> (Bloch & Schneider) *N. <u>unicornis</u> (Forsskal)	X X	X X	X X)
Zebrasoma flavescens (Bennett) Z. veliferum (Bloch)			X X)
APOGONIDAE (Cardinalfishes)				
* <u>Apogon</u> sp. <u>Cheilodipterus quinquelineatus</u> (Cuvier) * <u>C. macrodon</u> (Lacepede)		X	X))
AULOSTOMIDAE (Trumpetfishes)				
<u>Aulostomus chinensis</u> (Linnaeus)	Х	Х	Х	>
BALISTIDAE (Triggerfishes)				
<u>Balistapus undulatus</u> (Park) <u>Balistoides conspicillum</u> (Bloch & Schneider)	Х	Х	X X)
<u>Melichthys vidua</u> (Solander) <u>Pseudobalistes flavomarginatus</u> (Ruppell)	X X	Х	Х	>
<u>Sufflamen bursa</u> (Bloch & Schneider) <u>S. chrysopterus</u> (Bloch & Schneider)	X X	X X	X X	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
BLENNIIDAE (Blennies)				
<u>Aspidontis taeniatus</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Ecsenius bicolor</u> (Day) * <u>Exallias brevis</u> (Kner)	X	X X X	Х	
Meiacanthus atrodorsalis (Gunther)	Х	Х	Х	

Table 3. Fish species seen on Ipao Pt. transects from September 19: through November 1980; * = seen only on Ipao Pt. transects

		DE	PTH (m)	
FAMILY/SPECIES	5	9	18	3(
<u>Plagiotremus tapeinosoma</u> (Bleeker) * <u>Blenniid</u> sp.	X X	Х		
CAESIONIDAE (Fusiliers)				
* <u>Pterocaesio chrysozonus</u> (Cuvier)			Х	>
CHAETODONTIDAE (Butterflyfishes) <u>Chaetodon auriga</u> Forsskal *C. bennetti Cuvier	X	Х	x))
<u>C. citrinellus</u> Cuvier <u>C. ephippium</u> Cuvier <u>C. lunula (Lacepede)</u>	X X X	X X	X X	>
* <u>C</u> . <u>kleini</u> Bloch * <u>C</u> . <u>lineolatus</u> Cuvier <u>C</u> . lunula Lacepede	х	X X	X X X	
<u>C. mertensii</u> Cuvier <u>C. ornatissimus</u> Cuvier C. punctatofasciatus Cuvier	Х	X X	X X X	> >
* <u>C. quadrimaculatus</u> Gray <u>C. reticulatus</u> Cuvier <u>C. trifasciatus</u> Park	X X X	X X X	X X	> >
C. ulietensis Cuvier C. unimaculatus Bloch *C. vagabundus Linnaeus	Х	X X	Х	>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Forcipiger flavissimus Jordan & McGregor F. longirostris (Broussonet) Hemitaurichthys polylepis (Bleeker)	Х	Х	X X	> >
<u>Heniochus chrysostomus Cuvier</u> <u>Megaprotodon trifascialis</u> (Quoy & Gaimard)	Х	X X	X X	>
CIRRHITIDAE (Hawkfishes)				
<u>Cirrhitichthys falco</u> Randall <u>Neocirrhites armatus</u> Castelnau <u>Paracirrhites arcatus</u> (Cuvier) <u>P. forsteri</u> (Bloch & Schneider)	X X X	X X X X	X X X X	
FISTULARIIDAE (Coronetfishes)				
* <u>Fistularia commersonii</u> Ruppell		Х		
GOBIIDAE (Gobies)				
Nematalaathic magnifica Foulan		Х	Х	Х

FAMIL V/SPECIES	5	Q	18 PIH (m)
			10	
HOLOCENTRIDAE (Squirrelfishes)				
Adioryx caudimaculatus (Ruppell)		Х	X	
Flammen sammara (Forsskal)			Ŷ	
*Myrpristis sp.	Х		X	
	A		A	
KYPHUSIDAE (Rudderfishes)				
*Kyphosus cinerascens (Forsskal)			Х	
LABRIDAE (Wrasses)				
Anampses caeruleopunctatus Ruppell	Х	Х	Х	
<u>A. meleagrides</u> Valenciennes	X			
<u>A. twisti</u> (Bleeker)	X	X	X	
Bodianus axillaris (Bennett)	X	X	X	
C facaiatus (Plach)	X	X	X	
C. Instructus (Dioth)	Ŷ	v	v	
C trilobatus Lacenede	Ŷ	Ŷ	Ŷ	
C. undulatus Runnell	^	^	^	
*Cheilio inermis (Forsskal)	Х			
Cirrhilabrus sp.	X	Х	Х	
Coris gaimard (Quoy & Gaimard)		Х	Х	
Epibulus insidiator (Pallas)	Х	Х	Х	
<u>Gomphosus varius</u> Lacepede	Х	Х	Х	
<u>Halichoeres biocellatus</u> Schultz	Х		Х	
<u>H. hortulanus</u> (Lacepede)	Х	Х	Х	
<u>H. margaritaceus</u> (Valenciennes)	X	Х		
<u>H. marginatus</u> Ruppell	Х	X	Х	
<u>H</u> . sp.		Х	X	
Hemigymnus melapterus (Bloch)	Х		X	
Hologymnosus dollatus (Lacepede)	v	v	X	
Labroides Dicolor Fowler & Bean	X	X	X	
Labransis micronosica Pandall	Δ.	X	×	
Labropsis micronesica Randall	¥		Ŷ	
Macronharvngodon meleagris (Valenciennes)	X	x	Ŷ	
Pseudocheilinus evanidus Jordan & Evermann	~	~	x	
P. hexataenia (Bleeker)			x	
Stethojulis bandanensis (Bleeker)	Х	Х	X	
Thalassoma amblycephalum (Bleeker)	X	X		
*T. fuscum (Lacepede)		Х		
T. lutescens (Lay & Bennett)	Х	Х	Х	
T. quinquevittatum (Lay & Bennett)	Х	Х	Х	
		v		

FAMILY/SPECIES	5	DE 9	PTH (m) 18	30
*Labrid sp. 4 *Labrid sp. 5		x		x
LETHRINIDAE (Emperors)				
* <u>Gnathodentex aureolineatus</u> (Lacepede) * <u>Lethrinus semicinctus</u> Valenciennes	Х		X X	
LUTJANIDAE (Snappers)				
* <u>Lutjanus fulvus</u> (Bloch & Schneider)		Х		v
* <u>Macolor</u> niger (Forsskal)		Х		Х
MONACANTHIDAE (Filefishes)				
* <u>Amanses scopas</u> (Cuvier) <u>Cantherhines pardalis</u> (Ruppell) * <u>Oxymonacanthus longirostris</u> (Bloch & Schneider <u>Paraluteres prionurus</u> Bleeker <u>P. melanocephalus</u> (Bleeker)	X X) X	X X X X X	X X	х
MUGILOIDIDAE (Sand Perches)				
Parapercis clathrata Ogilby	Х	Х	Х	Х
MULLIDAE (Goatfishes)				
<u>Mulloidichthys flavolineatus</u> (Lacepede) *Parupeneus barberinus (Lacepede)	Х		Х	X X
<u>P. bifasciatus</u> (Lacepede) P. chryserydros (Lacepede)	X X	X X	X X	X X
<u>P. pleurostigma</u> (Bennett) P. trifasciatus (Lacepede)	х	X X	х	X X
MURAENIDAE (Moray Eels)				
* <u>Gymnothorax</u> sp.				Х
OSTRACIONTIDAE (Boxfishes, Cowfishes)				
* <u>Ostracion</u> <u>cubicus</u> Linnaeus <u>O. meleagris</u> Shaw	X X	X X	Х	х
PEMPHERIDAE (Sweepers)				
* <u>Pempheris oualensis</u> Cuvier		Х	Х	х

:

FAMILY/SPECIES	5	DE 9	18) 30	
POMACANTHIDAE (Angelfishes)					
Apolemichthys trimaculatus (Cuvier)		Х			
C. flavissimus (Cuvier)	Х	Х	Х	Х	
C. <u>heraldi</u> Woods & Schultz	Х		Х	Х	
<u>C. shepardi</u> Randall & Yasuda	v		Х	Х	
*Pomacanthus imperator (Bloch)	X	v	v	v	
Pygopiiles diacanthus (boddaert)	~	^	^	^	
<pre>POMACENTRIDAE (Damselfishes)</pre>					
Amphiprion clarkii (Bennett)	Х	Х	Х		
Chromis acares Randall & Swerdloff	Х	Х			
* <u>C. agilis Smith</u>			Х	Х	
C. amboinensis (Bleeker)	v	v	Х	X	
Chrysintona Joursponus (Lesson)	X	X			
C tracevi (Woods & Schultz)	x	x	х	Х	
*Dascyllus aruanus (Linnaeus)	x				
D. reticulatus (Richardson)	Х	Х	Х	Х	
<u>D. trimaculatus</u> (Ruppell)	.,		Х	>	
Plectroglyphidodon dickii (Lienard)	X	X	v		
P. Johnstonianus rowler & Dall	Ŷ	~	Ŷ		
Pomacentrus vajuli Jordon & Seale	x	х	x	>	
Pomachromis guamensis Allen & Larson	X	Х			
Stegastes fasciolatus (Ogilby)	Х	Х			
SCARIDAE (Parrotfishes)					
* <u>Calotomus sandwichensis</u> (Valenciennes)			Х		
<u>Cetoscarus bicolor</u> (Ruppell)	Х	Х	v		
Scarus brevifilis (Gunther)	x	х	X	^y	
S. ghobban Forsskal	x	x	x		
S. gibbus Ruppell	X		X		
S. psittacus Forsskal	Х	Х	Х	>	
S. rubroviolaceus (Bleeker)	X	X	X	,	
5. <u>schlegell</u> (Bleeker)	X Y	X Y	X Y)	
*S. tricolor Bleeker	~	^	Ŷ)	
* <u>Scarid</u> sp.			s	Ś	
SERRANIDAE (Groupers)					
Cephalopholis urodelus (Bloch & Schneider)	Х	х	Х		
Epinephelus fasciatus (Forsskal)		Х)	
		D	DEPTH (m)		
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	------------------	------------------	-------------	--
FAMILY/SPECIES	5	9	18	3(
* <u>E. merra</u> Bloch <u>Variola louti</u> (Forsskal)			Х	;	
SIGANIDAE (Rabbitfishes)					
<u>Siganus argenteus</u> (Quoy & Gaimard)			Х)	
SYNODONTIDAE (Lizardfishes)					
* <u>Synodus gracilis</u> (Quoy & Gaimard) <u>Synodus variegatus</u> (Lacepede)		Х	х)	
TETRAODONTIDAE (Smooth Puffers)					
<u>Arothron nigropunctatus</u> (Bloch & Schneider) <u>Canthigaster bennetti</u> (Bleeker) <u>C. coronata</u> (Vaillant & Sauvage) <u>C. janthinoptera</u> (Bleeker) <u>C. solandri</u> (Richardson) <u>C. valentini</u> (Bleeker)	X X X	X X X X	X X X X	> > >	
ZANCLIDAE (Moorish Idols)					
Zanclus cornutus (Linnaeus)	X	X	- Х	>	
Total No. Families 29 Total No. Species 176	21 105	23 114	26 117	25 97	



Figure 2. Monthly fluctuations in overall fish abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 3. Monthly fluctuations in fish abundance (mean numbers of individuals/100 m²) recorded at 5-m depth by study site.





Figure 5. Monthly fluctuations in fish abundance (mean numbers of individuals/100 m²) recorded at 18-m depth by study site.



Figure 6. Monthly fluctuations in fish abundance (mean numbers of individuals/100 m²) recorded at 30-m depth by study site.

study sites were slightly out of phase with respect to each other Ipao peaking prior to Asan at all depths.

From an examination of individual species counts, it appears that most of the observed seasonal increase in fish abundance is primaril attributable to a relatively small group of species which are wel represented at each station. In every case, however, other important but less abundant species also contributed to the overall fluctuation To characterize the species which were most influential at each depth I arbitrarily chose those species of which at least 500 individual were counted at a single station during the entire investigatic (Table 4). Fourteen species qualified at this level of abundance, 1 of which were among the 15 overall most abundant species recorde during the study (Table 5). The fourteenth species, <u>Plagiotremu</u> <u>tapeinosoma</u>, ranked number 21 in overall abundance. Ten of the 1 species contributed to the majority of the overall numerica fluctuation at each depth.

At 5 m, <u>Chrysiptera leucopomus</u> (Fig. 7) was the major contribut ing species to the spring/summer fluctuation in fish abundance Lesser contributors included <u>Thalassoma guinquevittatum</u> (Fig. 8) <u>P. tapeinosoma and Stegastes fasciolatus</u> (Fig. 9), although the latte species did not show very wide variation at this depth and tende toward slight increases in the fall. <u>Plectroglyphidodon dickii</u> <u>Acanthurus nigrofuscus</u>, and <u>Ctenochaetus striatus</u> (Figs. 10, 11 an 12, respectively) made relatively lower contributions during th spring and summer, as their maximum mean monthly counts were note

Table 4. Most influentially abundant fish species (\geq 500 individual: counted at each transect station during the entire study Numbers equal total number counted at a particular station.

ASAN PT.		IPAO PT.	
<u>5 m</u> :		<u>5 m</u> :	
<u>Chrysiptera</u> <u>leucopomus</u> <u>Thalassoma</u> <u>quinquevittatum</u> <u>Plagiotremus</u> <u>tapeinosoma</u> <u>Ctenochaetus</u> <u>striatus</u>	2780 2095 613 549	<u>Stegastes fasciolatus</u> <u>Plectroglyphidodon dickii</u> <u>T. quinquevittatum</u> <u>Acanthurus nigrofuscus</u>	3584 2607 842 675
<u>9 m</u> :		<u>9 m</u> :	
Pomachromis guamensis Dascyllus reticulatus T. quinquevittatum Cirrhilabrus sp. S. fasciolatus Plectroglyphidodon	4439 3044 1636 1495 1261	P. guamensis S. fasciolatus T. quinquevittatum D. reticulatus Pomacentrus vaiuli	213: 148(969 794 685
johnstonianus A. nigrofuscus	921 535	<u>P. johnstonianus</u> <u>A. nigrofuscus</u>	568 524
<u>18 m</u> :	• • •	<u>18 m</u> :	
<u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>D. reticulatus</u>	3123 2668	<u>Chrysiptera traceyi</u> <u>Plectroglyphidodon</u>	3014
<u>P. guamensis</u> <u>P. vaiuli</u>	1127 805	P. vaiuli A. nigrofuscus	2219 214(629
<u>30 m</u> :		<u>30 m</u> :	
<u>P. vaiuli</u> <u>C. traceyi</u>	2598 1237	<u>C. traceyi</u> <u>P</u> . <u>vaiuli</u>	4504 734

Total No. Species = 14

Table 5. Overall most abundant fish species (listed among the top 1 most abundant species at each station) counted during th study in order of total abundance.

SPECIES	Total No. Counte
Chryciptona tracovi	9700
Pomachromis quamensis	8127
Pomacentrus vajuli	7387
Descyllus noticulatus	7047
Stepastes fasciolatus	6508
The accome out nous vitte tum	5543
<u>Thatassonia</u> <u>quinquevillatuni</u>	5513
Acanthurus pigrofuscus	3880
<u>Acanchurus higiotuscus</u>	2154
Chrysintona Jouconomus	2002
Plactnoglyphidodon lacnymatus	2467
Scamus condidus	1011
<u>Status solutuus</u>	1744
Plactroglyphidodon johnstonianus	1601
Scarus psittacus	1091
<u>Scarus priciacus</u> Moiacanthus atrodorsalis	971
Servis schlogoli	0/1
Naco lituratus	9/1
Naso incuracus Nometolootris megnifice	779
Panacinnhitos ancatus	770
Plagiotromus tapoinosoma	/ 15 661
Cimphitichthus falso	577
Acanthurus triostogus	577
Halichoones biocollatus	574
Machanbanungodon moloagnic	537
Contropriaryngodon mereagris	529
Aconthumus algueonancius	207
Acalchurus gradcopareius	492
Dascyllus triffaceiatus	402
Chapteden citring llus	434
Thelecome lutercome	410
Thatassonia Tulescens	404
Zanalus computus	391
<u>Zancius cornucus</u>	359
Sufflamon bunca	304
Danaponeis clathnata	34/
Chaptedon nunctatofacciatur	33/
Chailinus unificaciatus	200
Aconthumus numeroformus	2/4
Acanthurus pyroterus	221

Total No. Species = 39



Figure 7. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Chrysiptera leucopomus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 8. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Thalassoma quinquevittatum</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 9. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Stegastes fasciolatus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 10. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Plectroglyphidodon dickii</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 11. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Acanthurus nigrofuscus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 12. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Ctenochaetus striatus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.

from November to December. Although much less abundant, <u>Scart</u> <u>psittacus</u> (Fig. 13) and <u>S. sordidus</u> (Fig. 14) also showed increases a this depth in November.

The widest variation in fish abundance was observed on the 9transects. The strongest contributors to this variation wer Pomachromis guamensis (Fig. 15) and Dascyllus reticulatus (Fig. 16) contributors included Lesser Cirrhilabrus sp. (Fig. 17) S. fasciolatus, Τ. quinquevittatum and Plectroglyphidodc johnstonianus. Although A. nigrofuscus added slightly to the ob served spring/summer increase, its peak abundance at this depth wa recorded in November.

<u>P. guamensis</u> and <u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. were responsible for much c the fish abundance variation at 18 m, while <u>Pomacentrus vaiuli</u> (Fig 18), <u>Chrysiptera</u> <u>traceyi</u> (Fig. 19), <u>D. reticulatus</u>, an <u>Plectroglyphidodon lacrymatus</u> (Fig. 20) contributed substantially, bu to lesser degrees. <u>A. nigrofuscus</u> was found to add relatively less t the overall spring/summer increase in abundance at this depth alsc while again its peak representation was recorded in the fall.

<u>C. traceyi</u> and <u>P. vaiuli</u> clearly dominated the counts at 30 π and were largely responsible for the seasonal increase in fish abun dance observed during the spring and summer at that depth. In general a small number of very abundant species had a strong influence on th overall seasonal fluctuation observed at all depths.

A closer examination of the 35 most ubiquitous species (Table 6 revealed a bimodal pattern of season of peak abundance (Fig. 21) More of these ubiquitous species peaked in May and November (7 [20%]



Figure 13. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Scarus psittacus</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 14. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Scarus</u> sordidus recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.

4



Figure 15. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Pomachromis guamensis</u> recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Figure 16. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Dascyllus</u> reticulatus recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.





~



Figure 19. Monthly fluctuations in abundance (mean number of individuals/100 m²) of <u>Chrysiptera</u> traceyi recorded from September 1979 through November 1980 by depth.



Table 6. Most ubiquitous fish species (counted at 7 or 8 transec stations) seen during the study in order of total abundance

Pomacentrus vaiuli87387Dascyllus reticulatus77047Cirrhilabrus sp.85513Acanthurus nigrofuscus83880Scarus sordidus81811Ctenochaetus striatus71744Scarus psittacus81009Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8492Parupeneus trifasciatus7416	SPECIES	No. Stations	Total No. Counte
Dascyllus reticulatus77047Cirrhilabrus sp.85513Acanthurus nigrofuscus83880Scarus sordidus81811Ctenochaetus striatus71744Scarus psittacus81009Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8492Parupeneus trifasciatus7416	Pomacentrus vaiuli	8	7387
Cirrhilabrus sp.85513Acanthurus nigrofuscus83880Scarus sordidus81811Ctenochaetus striatus71744Scarus psittacus81009Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8841Acanthurus glaucopareius8492Parupeneus trifasciatus7416	Dascyllus reticulatus	7	7047
Acanthurus nigrofuscus83880Scarus sordidus81811Ctenochaetus striatus71744Scarus psittacus81009Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8841Acanthurus glaucopareius8492Parupeneus trifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	<u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp.	8	5513
Scarus sordidus81811Ctenochaetus striatus71744Scarus psittacus81009Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8841Acanthurus glaucopareius8492Parupeneus trifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	Acanthurus nigrofuscus	8	3880
Ctenochaetusstriatus71744Scarus psittacus81009Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8841Acanthurus glaucopareius8492Parupeneus trifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	<u>Scarus</u> sordidus	8	1811
Scarus psittacus81009Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8841Acanthurus glaucopareius8492Parupeneus trifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	<u>Ctenochaetus striatus</u>	7	1744
Meiacanthus atrodorsalis7871Scarus schlegeli8858Naso lituratus8841Acanthurus glaucopareius8492Parupeneus trifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	<u>Scarus psittacus</u>	8	1009
Scarusschlegeli8858Nasolituratus8841Acanthurusglaucopareius8492Parupeneustrifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	<u>Meiacanthus</u> <u>atrodorsalis</u>	7	871
NasoLituratus8841Acanthurusglaucopareius8492Parupeneustrifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	<u>Scarus schlegeli</u>	8	858
Acanthurus Parupeneus Chaetodon citrinellus849284347416	Naso lituratus	8	841
Parupeneustrifasciatus8434Chaetodon citrinellus7416	<u>Acanthurus</u> <u>glaucopareius</u>	8	492
Chaetodon citrinellus / 416	Parupeneus trifasciatus	8	434
71. 7	<u>Chaetodon</u> <u>Citrinellus</u>	/	416
Inalassoma Iutescens / 404	<u>Inalassoma</u> <u>Iutescens</u>	/	404
Canthigaster solandri 8 391	Canthigaster solandri	8	391
Zancius cornutus 8 359	Zancius cornutus	8	359
Sutriamen Dursa 8 347	Suttlamen Dursa	8	347
Parapercis clathrata 8 337	Parapercis clathrata	8	337
Steinojulis bandanensis 6 292	Sternojulis bandanensis	0	292
Labraidan dimidiatus / 288	Labraidan dimidiatus	/	288
Choilinus unifacciatus o 200	Chailinus unifacaiatus	0	200
Sufflamon chaveoptomuc 7 214	Sufflamon chrycoptonus	07	214
Conhalenholis undelus 7 214	Conhalonholic unodolus	7	214
Halicheenes hentulanus 7 201	Halichoonos hontulanus	7	156
Forcipidon flavissimus 7 120	Forcipidon flaviccimus	7	120
Melichthys vidua 8 112	Melichthys vidua	2 2	112
Chaetodon reticulatus 8 104	Chaetodon reticulatus	8	104
Balistanus undulatus 7 93	Balistanus undulatus	. 7	03
Halichoeres marginatus 8 88	Halichoeres marginatus	8	88
Enjulus insidiator 8 74	Enibulus insidiator	8	74
Centronyge flavissimus 7 71	Centronyge flavissimus	7	71
Cheilinus trilobatus 8 59	Cheilinus trilobatus	8	59
Parupeneus hifasciatus 7 56	Parupeneus bifasciatus	7	56
Parupeneus chryserydros 8 51	Parupeneus chryservdros	8	51

Total No. Species = 35

ļ



Figure 21. Number of representative peak abundances recorded each month (based on maximum mean monthly counts) within the most ubiquitous species group.

in each month) than in any of the other months. Also, the majority the May peaks (57%) occurred at 18 and 30 m, while 71% of the Novemb peaks were recorded at 5 and 9 m. In addition, five of the ni labrids (56%) peaked in May and June, while three of the fo acanthurids (75%) peaked in November and December. These results te to enhance the significance of the timing in peak abundance of t more influentially abundant species occurring at 5 m (Table 4), whe five of seven species were herbivores, and four of these either peak or showed increases between October and December.

Among the 35 most ubiquitous species, 19 were assigned to general carnivore group which included benthic invertebrate-feeder piscivores and planktivores; nine were listed as herbivores; and sev were categorized as omnivores (Table 7). Among the carnivore grou 13 species (68%) were observed to peak in abundance at either 18 or m (Table 8). Not surprisingly, most of the herbivores (8 spp, 89 peaked at 5 and 9 m, while the recorded peak abundances among t omnivores were almost equally distributed (3 spp [43%] at 5 and 9 m; spp [57%] at 18 and 30 m). The monthly fluctuations in numbers peaking species per trophic category, shown in Fig. 22, strong suggest a temporal partition in peak abundance between the carnivo and herbivore groups. When these two groups were expanded to inclu-28 species apiece (Tables 9 and 10), the resulting overall pattern temporal partitioning remained just as strong (Fig. 23).

Although the relationships were less clear at 5 m, fluctuatio in overall fish abundance (Fig. 2) seemed to be positively correlate with rainfall (Fig. 24) and negatively correlated with windsper Table 7. General trophic categories to which the members of the moubiquitous species group were assigned. Species are listen in decreasing order of total abundance relative to eac category.

CARNIVORES

HERBIVORES

OMNIVORES

<u>Cirrhilabrus</u> sp. <u>Meiacanthus</u> atrodorsalis <u>Parupeneus</u> trifasciatus Thalassoma lutescens	<u>Acanthurus nigrofuscus</u> <u>Scarus sordidus</u> <u>Ctenochaetus striatus</u> <u>Scarus psittacus</u>	Pomacentrus vaiuli Dascyllus reticulatu: Chaetodon citrinellu: Canthigaster solandri
Zanclus cornutus	Scarus schlegeli	Chaetodon
Sufflamen bursa	Naso lituratus	punctatofasciatus
Parapercis clathrata	Acanthurus	Melichthys vidua
Stethojulis bandanensis	glaucopareius	Balistapus undulatus
<u>Labroides</u> <u>dimidiatus</u>	<u>Chaetodon</u> <u>reticulatus</u>	
<u>Cheilinus</u> <u>unifasciatus</u>	<u>Centropyge</u> <u>flavissimus</u>	
Sufflamen chrysopterus		
<u>Cephalopholis</u> urodelus		
<u>Halichoeres</u> hortulanus		
<u>Forcipiger flavissimus</u>		
<u>Halichoeres</u> marginatus		
<u>Epibulus</u> insidiator		
<u>Cheilinus trilobatus</u>		
Parupeneus bifasciatus		
Parupeneus chryserydros		
No. Species/Group = 19 Total No. Species = 35	9	7

Table 8. Number of species per general trophic category (within the most ubiquitous species group) that peaked in mean abundance at each transect depth.

Trophic Category	Ţ	ransec	t Depth	<u>1</u>	Total	No.	Specie
	<u>5m</u>	9m	18m	30m			
CARNIVORES	<u>3</u> 32	<u>3</u> %	<u>8</u> 68	<u>5</u> 3%		19	
HERBIVORES	<u>6</u> 89	2	<u>1</u> 11	0.%		9	
OMNIVORES .	<u>0</u> 43	3	<u>1</u> 57	3		7	
Total No. Species =	9	8	10	8		35	



Figure 22. Number of representative peak abundances recorded each month (based on maximum mean monthly counts) among carnivores, herbivores and omnivores within the most ubiquitous species group. Total number of species = 35.

Table 9.

D. Expanded list of carnivores counted during the study base on ubiquity across all transect stations, and listed i order of total abundance. Months of maximum mean monthl count (peak month) and depths (m) of greatest representatic (peak depth) are given for each species. Number of pea months per quarterly interval are shown below.

SPECIES		Number Counted	Number <u>Stations</u>	Peak Month	Peak Dept
Thalassoma quinquevi	ttatum	5542	5	Mav	5
Cirrhilabrus sp.		5513	8	May	18
Meiacanthus atrodors	alis	871	8	Jun	30
Paracirrhites arcatu	s	644	6	Aug	9
Macropharyngodon mel	eagris	529	6	Apr	9
Parupeneus trifascia	tus	434	8	Öct	30
Thalassoma lutescens		404	7	Nov	18
Zanclus cornutus	-	359	8	Oct	18
Sufflamen bursa		347	8	Mar	5
Parapercis clathrata		337	8	May	18
Stethojulis bandanen	sis	292	8	Jun	9
Labroides dimidiatus		280	8	May	5
Cirrhitichthys falco	-	277	6	Jun	18
Cheilinus unifasciat	us	274	8	Jun	18
Sufflamen chrysopter	us	214	7	Nov	9
Cephalopholis urodel	us	201	7	May ·	9
Paracirrhites forste	ri	162	6	Apr	9
Halichoeres hortulan	us	156	7	Mar	30
Forcipiger flavissim	us	124	7	Dec	30
Halichoeres marginat	us	88	8	May	18
Ostracion meleagris		85	6	Feb	5&9
Epibulus insidiator		74	8	Sep	30
Gomphosus varius		61	6	Feb	5
Cheilinus trilobatus		59	8	Aug	18
Parupeneus bifasciat	us	56	7	Juĺ	5
Parupeneus chryseryd	ros	51	8	Sep	18
Coris gaimard		39	6	Apr	9&18
Epinephelus fasciatu	<u>s</u>	39	6	Jun	9
Feb-Mar	Apr-Jun	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	TOTAL	
	14	5	5	28	

Table 10. Expanded list of herbivores counted during the study base on ubiquity across all transect stations, and listed i order of total abundance. Months of maximum mean monthl count (peak month) and depths (m) of greatest representatic (peak depth) are given for each species. Number of pea months per quarterly interval are shown below.

SPECIES	Number Counted	Number Stations	Peak Month	Peak Dept
Stegastes fasciolatus	6598	4	Jun	5
Acanthurus nigrofuscus	3880	8	Nov	5
Plectroglyphidodon dickii	3134	4	Jul	5
Chrysiptera leucopomus	2885	4	Jul	5
Plectroglyphidodon lacrymatus	2395	5	May	18
Scarus sordidus	1811	8	Jul	5
Ctenochaetus striatus	1744	7	Dec	5
Plectroglyphidodon johnstonianus	1658	6	Jun	9
<u>Scarus psittacus</u>	1009	8	Nov	9
<u>Scarus</u> <u>schlegeli</u>	858	8	May	9
<u>Naso lituratus</u>	841	8	Nov	18
<u>Centropyge shepardi</u>	500	5	Jun	30
<u>Acanthurus</u> <u>glaucopareius</u>	492	8	Sep	5
<u>Acanthurus</u> triostegus	428	3	Nov	5
<u>Acanthurus pyroferus</u>	216	6	Oct	30
<u>Scarus brevifilis</u>	200	5	Dec	9
<u>Acanthurus olivaceus</u>	124	4	Mar	18
<u>Chaetodon reticulatus</u>	104	8	Nov	5
<u>Acanthurus mata</u>	91	4	Nov	5
<u>Centropyge flavissimus</u>	71	8	Oct	5
<u>Centropyge heraldi</u>	67	5	May	30
<u>Acanthurus lineatus</u>	62	3	Nov	5
<u>Zebrasoma flavescens</u>	56	5	Oct	18
<u>Scarus</u> rubroviolaceus	49	6	Aug	9
<u>Naso brevirostris</u>	48	6	Aug	18
Naso unicornis	21	4	Nov	5
<u>Cetoscarus bicolor</u>	10	6	Aug	9
Zebrasoma veliferum	9	3	Oct	18
Feb-Mar Apr-Jun	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec	TOTAL	
1 6	7	14	28	



Figure 23. Number of representative peak abundances recorded each month (based on maximum mean monthly counts) among equal numbers of carnivores and herbivores within an expanded ubiquitous species group. Total



Figure 24. Actual and expected (based on 24 years of data) monthly rainfall on the island of Guam for the months of September 1979 through November 1980 recorded by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, U. S. Naval Air Station, Guam.

(Fig. 25). The expanded carnivore and herbivore data were tested see if rainfall might be a factor influencing the timing of the observed peak abundances. While the combined fish abundance of bot trophic categories was significantly greater (chi-square for a 2 X contingency table, p < 0.01) during the wet season (Table 11), it we fishes within the expanded herbivore group that were the major contr. butors (chi-square for 2 classes, p < 0.01) to this increase (Tab) Chi-square tests for more than two classes also support ϵ 12). (herbivores, p < 0.05) this result (Table 13). When the carnivore ar herbivore data were segregated into quarterly intervals (Tables 9 ar 10), it was found that these periods were not homogeneous (G-statisti $[G_{\mu}]$, p < 0.025) in their expected ratios of numbers of species peak per trophic category; and that it was the October-December period that was significantly different (G-statistic [G], p < 0.05) (Table 14) Therefore, although the overall fish abundance represented by bot trophic groups was greatest during the rainy season, the abundanc fluctuations between the two groups were found to be partitione temporally. While the expanded carnivore group increased early an peaked before the month of maximum rainfall (Fig. 21), the expande herbivore group did not increase significantly until later in th season, and peaked only after the rainiest month had passed. Thus the seasonal fluctuations among the more ubiquitous and abundant fis species appeared to follow a depth-related pattern that was probabl related to food resources.

The first species noted to recruit in appreciable numbers durin 1980 was the planktivore <u>P. guamensis</u> (Fig. 15), which settle



Figure 25. Actual and expected (based on 21 years of data) mean monthly windspeed on the island of Guam for the months of September 1979 through November 1980 recorded by the U.S. Naval Oceanography Command Detachment, U.S. Naval Air Station, Guam. : = actual x maximum sustained high-hour wind velocity (kts/hr): = actual x
Table 11. Two-by-two test of independence using X² (Sokal and Roh 1969) to determine if overall fish abundance of equal numbers of carnivores and herbivores was significantly greated during the wet season (\bar{x} rainfall \geq 12.5 cm/month). Finabundance is based on numbers of maximum mean monthly counwithin each trophic group. Data not collected durin January.

	DRY SEASON	WET SEASON	
	January-May	June-December	Tota
Carnivores	13	15	28
Herbivores	4	24	28
	17	39	56
	$\chi^{2} = \frac{[(13 \times 24)]}{(28 \times 28)}$ = 6.842**) - (15 - 4)]² x 56 28 x 17 x 39)	

** = p < 0.01

ŧ

Table 12. Two class tests of independence using X^2 (Sokal and Rohl 1969) to determine if either carnivores or herbivores wer significantly more abundant during the wet seasc (\bar{x} rainfall ≥ 12.5 cm/month). Fish abundance is based c the numbers of maximum mean monthly counts of 28 carnivore and 28 herbivores. Data not collected during January.

CARNIVORES:					(f_f)
	f	f	f-f	(f-f)²	<u>(1-1)</u> f
Dry Season (Jan-May)	13	14	-1	1	0.07
Wet Season (Jun-Dec)	<u>15</u>	<u>14</u>	1	1	0.07
Σ	28	28			
	$\chi^2 = (1)$	$\frac{3 - 14)^2}{14}$	+ <u>(15 -</u> 14	14)2	
	= 0.	142 ns			
э					
HERBIVORES:					(f f)
	f	f	f-f	(f-f)2	<u>(1-1)</u> <u><u>f</u></u>
Dry Season (Jan-May)	4	14	-10	100	7.14
Wet Season (Jun-Dec)	24	<u>14</u>	10	100	7.14
Σ	28	28			
	$\chi^2 = (4)$	- 14)² 14	+ (24 - 14	<u>14)²</u>	
	= 14	.286**	-		
	a ver a state a state a	the reaction of the state of the second of the	9789		

ns = p > 0.05 ** = p < 0.01

Table 13. Tests of independence for greater than two classes using : (Sokal and Rohlf 1969) to determine if fish abundance (either carnivores or herbivores was significantly greate during quarterly periods of the year. Fish abundance based on the numbers of maximum mean monthly counts of : carnivores and 28 herbivores. Data not collected durin January.

CARNIVORES:					
	f	f	f-f	(f-f)²	<u>(f-f)</u> <u>f</u>
Feb-Mar	4	5.091	-1.091	1.190	0.234
Apr-Jun	14	7.636	6.364	40.500	5.304
Jul-Sep -	5	7.636	-2.636	6.948	0.91(
Oct-Dec	_5	7.636	-2.636	6.948	0.91(
Σ	28	27.999			
$\chi^2 = \frac{(4 - 5.091)^2}{5.091} +$	(14 - 7.63	36) ² + (5	- 7.636)² 7.636	+ <u>(5 - 7.</u>	536)2 5

= 7.358 ns

HERBIVORES:

	f 	f	f-f	(f-f)²	<u>(†-†</u> , <u>f</u>
Feb-Mar	1	5.091	-4.091	16.736	3.287
Apr-Jun	6	7.646	-1.636	2.676	0.350
Jul-Sep	7	7.636	-0.636	0.404	0.053
Oct-Dec	14	7.636	6.364	40.500	5.304
Σ	28	27.999			
$\chi^{2} = \frac{(1 - 5.091)^{2}}{5.091} + \frac{(6)^{2}}{5.091}$ $= 8.994*$	<u>- 7.63</u> 7.636	<u>(6)</u> ² + <u>(7</u> 7	- 7.636)² - 7.636	+ <u>(14 - 7.6</u> 7.636	536)²

ns = p > 0.05 * = p < 0.05

ŧ

1 - 21

Table 14. Two-by-two test of independence using the G-statistic (Soka and Rohlf 1969) to determine if fish abundance of equal num bers of carnivores and herbivores was significantly greate during quarterly periods of the year. Fish abundance i based on numbers of maximum mean monthly counts within eac trophic group. Data not collected during January.

MONTHS	CARNIVORES	HERVIVORES	Σ	G
Feb-Mar	4	1	5	1.927
Apr-Jun	14	6	20	3.291
Jul-Sep	5	7	12	0.335
Oct-Dec	_5	<u>14</u>	19	4.439
Σ	28	28	56	9.992
	G _H = 2 [119.905 = 9.994**	- 186.603 - 153.7	25 + 225.4	19]
6	G _P = 2 [186.603 = 0	+ 19.408 + 19.408	- 225.419]
* = p < 0.05	** = P < 0.01			

strongly in March at 9 and 18 m. Other relatively abunda plankton-feeders that recruited between March and June included t omnivores D. reticulatus, P. vaiuli and C. traceyi (Figs. 16, 18 a) 19, respectively), all of which consume significant amounts (zooplankton. Together, these plankton-feeders were the four overa most abundant species counted during the study (Table 5), and alou with other less abundant planktivores, such as Nemateleotris magnific and Ptereleotris evides, probably represented a significant for resource for several piscivores. In fact, maximum mean monthly coun of the groupers, Cephalopholis urodelus and Epinephelus fasciatus, tl hawkfishes, Cirrhitichthys falco and Paracirrhites forsteri, the sai perch, Parapercis clathrata, and the wrasses, Cheilinus trilobatus ai C. unifasciatus, were all recorded between February and June (Tab 9). Piscivore increases observed during these months were mainly du to the appearances of subadults and adults and additionally include sporadic sightings of larger groupers, snappers and wrasses, such pproxVariola louti, Lutjanus bohar and Cheilinus undulatus. These latte species are deeper water predators that may have undergone a seasona vertical migration in response to increased prey abundance on th upper reef slope (Kock 1982).

Several benthic invertebrate-feeders also exhibited peak abur dances during the same period. Increases among these species wer primarily due to juvenile recruitment, but older juveniles and adult were also commonly encountered. They included the boxfish, <u>Ostracic</u> <u>meleagris</u>, the triggerfish, <u>Sufflamen bursa</u> and the wrasses, <u>Cor</u><u>gaimard</u>, <u>Gomphosus varius</u>, <u>Halichoeres hortulanus</u>, <u>H. marginatus</u> <u>Macropharyngodon</u> <u>meleagris</u>, <u>Stethojulis</u> <u>bandanensis</u> an <u>T. quinquevittatum</u> (Fig. 8), amidst others. These species also may have peaked during a time of expanding food resources since the stron planktivore fluctuation suggested the presence of abundant plankton upon which many benthic invertebrates are known to feed. However data directly supporting this was not collected during the study.

Of the 14 ubiquitous herbivores that peaked in abundance durir the October-December period (Table 10), eight (<u>Acanthurus lineatus</u>, <u>A</u> <u>nigrofuscus</u> [Fig. 11], <u>A</u>. <u>triostegus</u>, <u>A</u>. <u>pyroferus</u>, <u>Naso lituratus</u>, <u>N</u> <u>unicornis</u>, <u>Zebrasoma flavescens</u> and <u>Z</u>. <u>veliferum</u>) were browsing ar two (<u>A</u>. <u>mata and Ctenochaetus striatus</u> [Fig. 12]) were grazing sur geonfishes; two (<u>Scarus brevifilis</u> and <u>S</u>. <u>psittacus</u> [Fig. 14]) wer grazing parrotfishes; and one each (<u>Centropyge flavissimus</u> an <u>Chaetodon reticulatus</u>) were browsing angelfish and butterflyfish respectively.

Altogether, ten (71%) of the 14 ubiquitous herbivores are brow sers, eight of which are surgeonfishes that were most abundant at 5 m Although they were not uncommon, juvenile surgeonfishes seemed gen erally low in representation on the reef slope. Most of the larges increases in surgeonfish abundance were due to the presence o subadult/adult mixed-species foraging aggregations that appeared to b most numerous and most frequent during the fall. The most conspicuou species included Acanthurus glaucopareius, nigrofuscus Α. A. triostegus, and N. lituratus. In contrast, the majority of the newly recruited juvenile browsers observed during this study wer territorial damselfishes that generally peaked in overall abundance

t

during the spring/summer months on the reef front and upper submarir terrace. The most important of these species included C. leucopomu (Fig. 7), S. fasciolatus (Fig. 9), P. dickii (Fig. 10), P. lacrymatu (Fig. 20) and P. johnstonianus. Juvenile parrotfishes were er countered at a rather moderate frequency, often in small groups (10-2 individuals) or as part of larger (100-200 individuals) mixed-specie foraging aggregations. However, there were no strong relationship between parrotfish abundance and specific depths or reef zones Scarus brevifilis and S. psittacus peaked during the October-Decembe period, while, peak abundances were recorded for Cetoscarus bicolor Scarus rubroviolaceus, S. schlegeli and S. sordidus (Fig. 13) betwee May and August. The latter species, however, showed strong increase in the fall, and along with S. psittacus and S. schlegeli often forme substantial portions of foraging aggregations. Since the reef slop algal biomass did not fluctuate noticably during this study, ther seems to be no direct relationship between fluctuations in herbivorou fish abundance and food resources on the upper reef slope. But agai collected data directly supporting this was not during tŀ investigation.

Annual Variation

Annual variation in the counts of the 35 most ubiquitous fis species was estimated with data collected during the months of Septem ber, October and November, 1979 and 1980. The counts of these specie were lumped across depths and analyzed by site. Values of mean log (\overline{R}) calculated from the September data show that net decreases i abundance occurred between years in most species at both sites; bu

e

based on the October and November data sets these values showed ne increases between years at both sites. Values of AV calculated for both sites were generally low, ranging from 0.06 to 0.17, indicatir that relatively little overall change in ranked fish abundances has occurred between years. Within the 1600 m² area surveyed at eac site, the most ubiquitous upper reef slope fishes show fairly stabl abundances from year to year (\bar{x} AV Asan and Ipao = 0.11 and 0.05 respectively).

Annual variation was estimated for each depth by lumping depth specific data across sites. The mean log R's (\overline{R}) among months for the 35 ubiquitous species show net decreases to have been prevelar between years in the September data, while net increases are found i the October and November data sets. Comparisons of the mean log R' (\overline{R}) among depths indicate that the most widespread increases i abundance between years occurred at 18 m. The calculated values of A range from 0.03 to 0.23, with consistently higher values at 18 m Table 15 summarizes the values of \overline{R} and AV calculated for each stuc site and depth for each pair of months. The results show that on relatively broader scale of analysis (1600 m² of reef), AV's calcu lated by site are comparatively low and not very different from eac other. Values of AV are generally higher when calculated for specifi depths and depth-month combinations (800 m² of reef). The mean valu for all depths (\tilde{x} AV = 0.13) is similar to that for both study site $(\bar{x} AV = 0.10)$, indicating low annual variation in abundance over th extensive reef areas analyzed. In addition, trends in the calculated

e

Table 15. Annual variation (AV) in ranked fish abundances of the : most ubiquitous fish species observed at Asan Pt. and Ipa Pt. Calculations are based on data collected during Septer ber, October and November, 1979 and 1980. \overline{R} and AV an explained in text and in Wolda (1978).

Study Site	Transect Depth (m)	September	October	November	2
<u>R</u> :		<u> </u>			
Asan	A11	-0.08	0.06	0.04	0.0
Ipao	A11	-0.04	0.08	0.03	0.0
Both	5	0.03	0.01	-0.02	0.0
Both	9	-0.18	0.08	0.10	0.0
Both	18	0.02	0.09	0.09	0.0
Both	30	-0.02	-0.08	0.03	-0.(
<u>AV:</u>					
Asan	A11	0.09	0.06	0.17	0.1
Ipao	A11	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.0
Both	5	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.1
Both	9	0.14	0.14	0.10	0.1
Both	18	0.15	0.18	0.23	0.1
Both	30	0.04	0.15	0.12	0.1

values of AV across depths seem to be loosely correlated with the depth-related trends in observed species richness (Tables 2 and 3)

Yearly changes in species composition among the 35 most ubiquitous species as estimated by the J and R indices of resemblance show the same trend (Tables 16 and 17). Study sites (all depths combined show greater species constancy (i.e., higher index values) than a individual depth zones indicating that species composition is more stable over broader areas than within narrower zones. The mean value of each index for all depths combined, excluding 30 m, were similar (J = 0.84; R = 0.91) and relatively high, indicating the presence of fairly constant ubiquitous species composition. Yearly differences in the numbers of species observed at 30 m is misleading since twice the amount of census time was expended at that depth in 1979. Consequent ly, the 30-m data was not used in computing the mean similarit values.

Table 16. Annual variation in species composition of the 35 mos ubiquitous fish species observed at Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt. a estimated by the Jaccard Coefficient (J). Calculations an based on data collected during September, October an November, 1979 and 1980. J is explained in text and Sokal and Sneath (1963).

Study Site	Transect Depth (m)	September	October	November	;
Asan	A11	0.97	0.91	0.94	0.9
Ipao	A11	0.97	0.97	0.91	0.9
Both	5 m	0.81	0.87	0.88	0.8
Both	9 m	0.82	0.85	0.85	0.8
Both	18 m	0.74	0.88	0.88	0.8
Both	30 m	0.82	0.66	0.72	0.7

Table 17. Annual variation in species composition of the 35 mo: ubiquitous fish species observed at Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt. a estimated by the Resemblance Index (R). Calculations an based on data collected during September, October an November, 1979 and 1980. R is explained in text and Smith (1973).

Study Site	Transect Depth (m)	September	October	November	5
Asan	A11	0.98	0.96	0.97	0.9
Ipao	A11	0.96	0.98	0.98	0.9
Both	5 m	0.90	0.93	0.93	0.9
Both	9 m	0.90	0.92	0.92	0.9
Both	18 m	0.85	0.93	0.94	0.9
Both	30 m	0.91	0.78	0.84	0.8

DISCUSSION

The changes in overall fish abundance observed during this stud (Fig. 2) conformed to a general pattern consistent with recent wor done at Guam (Kock 1982), Micronesia (Johannes 1978), Hawaii (Watso and Leis 1974), the Caribbean (Luckhurst and Luckhurst 1977) and th Great Barrier Reef (Russell et al. 1977; Talbot et al. 1978; William and Sale 1981), in which fish abundance fluctuations were found to t highly seasonal and largely related to reproductive activities. Tł results of this investigation also suggest that within the overal upper reef slope fish community, there is a temporal partitioning i peak abundance across depth, possibly resulting from a more specifi temporal partitioning among general trophic groups. Stror planktivore recruitment at 9 and 18 m in March appeared to initiat the observed seasonal increase in overall fish abundance. This earl planktivore recruitment was followed closely by increases among othe abundant plankton-feeders, primarily at 18 m, through June. Pea abundances among the most ubiquitous carnivores were conspicuousl clustered between April and June, with major piscivore increases beir especially prominant during these months at 9 and 18 m. In contrast recorded peak abundances among the most ubiquitous herbivores wer clumped between October and December, at 5 m.

While increases among the plankton-feeders were primarily due t juvenile recruitment, piscivore increases resulted from the appear ances of subadults and adults. Benthic invertebrate-feeders increase as a result of juvenile recruitment and the immigration of subadul and adults. The herbivore increases, on the other hand, we attributed to juvenile recruitment and sightings of subadult/adu mixed-species feeding aggregations that appeared to be more numerou and more frequently encountered in the fall.

The initial planktivore influx, as well as juvenile recruitment in general, might have been influenced by several factors promotin successful larval survival. These may have included decrease predation, favorable ocean current patterns and increased larval for availability, among others. Once recruited, successful settlement (the reef may be influenced by food and space availability, ar variations in competition and predation pressures. If peak juvenil recruitment is the result of seasonally intensified reproduction the is timed to coincide with factors favorable for larval survival ar juvenile settlement, the above factors may be considered examples c "ultimate" causes of seasonal peak fish abundance. However, if fis reproduction is relatively constant through the year, seasonality i recruitment may be the result of intermittently enhanced survival du to these factors and, in this case, they might be regarded a "proximate" causes of seasonally maximum fish abundance.

Since spawning activity was not observed during this study, have no data directly supporting the hypothesis that reef fish reprc duction is seasonally intensified. Similarly, this study did nc examine the possibility of differential larval survival throughout th year or the effects of predation and competition on newly settle recruits. However, because this investigation did yield dat indicating that different trophic groups exhibited different patterr of seasonal abundance, it is possible that food availability may be a important proximate or ultimate cause influencing the observe seasonal peaks in overall fish abundance.

While recognizing that seasonal variation in overall fish abur dance at Guam is manifested through a variety of patterns, Kock (1982 proposed that seasonally abundant planktonic food may be important i timing the initiation of strong juvenile recruitment on the upper rec slope. In doing so, he cited the post-reproductive loosening ar fragmentation of <u>Boodlea composita</u> beginning in February (R. T. Tsuda pers. com.) and the desiccation of <u>Caulerpa racemosa</u> (Peterson 1972 beginning in March, as examples of reef-flat algae that are trans ported offshore during the midday low tide season. Accordingly, i was inferred that the suspended particulate material resulting fro these and other species of dead algae may somehow indirectly contri bute to the nourishment of pelagic fish larvae, and that the adde benefit of this condition is ultimately manifested in the form of maximum juvenile settlement between March and June.

Although the diurnal low spring tide season at Guam may beg around March, there is evidence that effective reef-flat algal desic cation may not occur until later in the season. Tsuda (1974) has shown that the algae which are most seasonal at Guam are intertida species, the majority of which are most abundant between January ar June. The algae in the upper intertidal zone are the first to dis appear--at least a month before those in the lower intertidal zone He also emphasized that the desiccation of intertidal algae ' regulated by the critical factors of time of day and duration (exposure (Doty 1946; Lawson 1957) which are effectively met at Gu; only during the months of May through August. Therefore, seasonally abundant planktonic food is an important factor influencin successful springtime juvenile recruitment among upper reef-slop fishes, it is likely to result from a source that is of great; influence during the earlier part of the year. The results of th present study suggest a similar but contrasting explanation.

If, in general, the numbers in an animal population are at leas partly regulated by food availability (Lack 1954; Pianka 1974), ar since fish appear to spawn to gain most from the food available in th production cycle (Cushing 1975; Russell et al. 1977), the rath€ dramatically successful recruitment of P. guamensis presumably ind cated the presence of abundant zooplankton. By the same logic increases among the benthic invertebrate-feeders may indicate the presence of abundant benthic invertebrate prey, of which many specie are also known to feed extensively on plankton. Indeed, Russell ϵ al. (1977) pointed to the significance of a recruitment strategy i which fish abundance increases at a time when maximum food resource ensure conditions most favorable for growth. In addition, the mention evidence leading to the existence of subtle seasonal patterr in tropical primary production (Kinsey and Domm 1974), and the pos sible link between these patterns and the reproductive cycles i coral-reef fishes. In a northern hemispheric tropical ocean, phyte plankton production, largely controlled by solar radiation and winc develops slowly through the fall and winter leading to maximu

herbivorous zooplankton abundance around February (Cushing 1959 1975), approximately the time of the initial planktivore influ observed during this study.

The much greater than average rain experienced in February (Fic 24) may have added additional nutrients through rain-induced terres trial run-off. The addition of detrital material after April due 1 the developing seasonal reef-flat algal kill may also be influential Although peak abundances among the most ubiquitous carnivores did no show a significant relationship with the rainy season (Table 11), is reasonable to conclude from the observed trends that increas€ availability of food in a variety of forms could have played a important role in their fluctuations. At the very least, the dat clearly suggest that many of the planktivores, piscivores and benth invertebrate-feeders within the fish community are capable of takir advantage of seasonal increases in their food supplies. Besid€ conferring potential growth benefits to recruiting juveniles, repro duction coincident with maximum levels of fluctuating food resource may allow adults to meet more successfully the increased energy demands spawning places upon them. Therefore, the relationsh between food resource availability and fish abundance for spawners a well as recruits may have significance from both "proximate" physic logical (Lagler et al. 1962) and "ultimate" evolutionary (Fisher 193(perspectives.

Data on the herbivorous segment of the fish community led to the same general conclusion based on the intimate association recognize between these fishes and the high benthic algal production common

found on shallow coral reefs (Odum and Odum 1955; Hiatt and Strasbur 1960; Randall 1961; Bakus 1964; Birkeland 1977; Wanders 1977; Brow 1979). Since these fishes have generally low assimilation efficien cies (Odum 1970; Chartok 1972), and retain food for only a few houn (Ogden and Lobel 1978), they also have relatively large food bioma: requirements (Bardach 1961). As a result, the majority of the herb vorous fish community predominates in shallower water since marin benthic algae and seagrasses are most productive at depths less tha 20 m (Ogden and Lobel 1978). Foraging groups consisting primarily of parrotfish and surgeonfish species that feed intensely even in th shallowest reaches of the intertidal zone during high tide (Baku 1967) may also be major agents in the cycling of nutrients across th reef flat (Smith and Marsh 1973; Marsh 1974).

In Guam, both adults and juveniles of several surgeonfish ar parrotfish species commonly seen on the upper reef slope, are known 1 frequent the reef flat (Amesbury 1978; Amesbury and Myers 1982; Katni 1982; Myers 1982), especially during high tides. Foraging groups ar largely composed of grazers (chiefly parrotfishes and a few surgeor fish species) which rasp sand, rubble and other hard substrate sur faces for detritus, diatoms, blue-green algae and other organi materials, and browsers (chiefly several surgeonfish species) whic bite and consume leafy, fleshy or filamentous algae without scrapir the substrate (Bakus 1967; Jones 1968). After studying the foc habits of surgeonfishes in Hawaii and Johnston Island, Jones (1968 concluded that the algal genera <u>Ectocarpus</u>, <u>Sphacelaria</u>, <u>Cladophora</u> Polysiphonia, Gelidium, Centroceras, Ceramium and Microcoleus were th most important food items eaten by browsing species of <u>Acanthurus</u> an <u>Zebrasoma</u>. Browsing species of <u>Naso</u> were found to feed heavily (<u>Lobophora</u>, <u>Dictyota</u> and <u>Sargassum</u>. Since all of these algal genen are commonly found at Guam (R. T. Tsuda, pers. comm.), they presumab represent an important food resource for many of the same species (browsers studied by Jones (1968) which also exist here (Shepard an Myers 1981; Tables 1 and 2). When the relatively extensive reef-fla area that exists at Guam (Randall and Eldredge 1976) is considered, f is easy to imagine how beneficial the additional algal biomas associated with this zone might be to the shallow-water herbivorou fish community. However, in order to utilize even a limited amount (the energy stored in this biomass, many herbivorous fishes have had t adapt to a distinctive type of production cycle largely controlled t solar radiation, tide and rainfall.

As the diurnal low spring tide season develops, both grazers ar browsers are excluded from foraging on the reef flat during certai hours of the day (Bakus 1967). Since most herbivorous fishes ar nocturnally inactive (Hobson 1965, 1972; Starck and Davis 1966 Rosenblatt and Hobson 1969), this low-tide restriction of diurna reef-flat foraging might be viewed as a condition less favorable t maximum growth, especially among juvenile browsers. Widesprea reduction in food resources due to desiccation (Tsuda 1974) during th fully developed diurnal low spring tide season also may be highl stressful to foraging herbivore populations, and in particular t those browsers most intimately associated with the reef flat. Th severity of this form of environmental stress is compounded b

{

seasonally high rainfall (Fig. 24) which serves to extend the tin period of algal reduction by preventing the reef-flat community fro starting to reestablish itself as soon as the critical midday low-tic season ends. Rainfall continuing into the later months of the yea could produce reef-flat salinities low enough to delay th reappearance of upper intertidal algae until January (Tsuda 1974).

For the most part, peak abundances among the ubiquitous shallow water browsers were recorded only after the passage of September Guam's rainiest month (Fig. 24). Thus, while there may be a stror positive relationship between fish abundance and rainfall among mar of the species censused, there are at least some species, especiall at 5 m, for which this relationship does not hold. Furthermore, Fic 2 indicates that in both 1979 and 1980 the fall increase in fish abun dance, most evident at 5 m, did not occur until after the actua seasonal peak rain month had passed. Although this suggests th existence of a mechanism enabling certain herbivores to respond t environmental cues by adjusting reproductive effort to coincide wit increasing food supplies, it is purely speculative at this point Nevertheless, while the upper intertidal algae may not become reestab lished until January, it is likely that the reestablishment process c the reef flat as a whole would occur progressively earlier from th reef margin toward shore as diurnal low tides and peak rainfal diminish over time. The increase in fish abundance that occurred mos notably in shallower water during the fall may have been related t reproduction among grazers in response to lengthening diurnal foragin

time on the reef flat, and among browsing surgeonfishes in response t the reestablishment of the reef-flat algal community.

Because of the rather ubiquitous nature of their food source ar their wide-ranging foraging habits, parrotfishes may be less depender than browsing surgeonfishes upon the reef flat, and they may also t relatively less affected by the seasonal diurnal restriction c reef-flat foraging. This may be supported by the comparatively lowe juvenile representation of the latter species observed during thi study, which also suggests that reproduction among browsing surgeor fishes may be more responsive to changes in reef-flat algal biomass The herbivores that peaked in abundance earlier in the year wer mostly territorial damselfishes that commonly inhabit the reef fror and submarine terrace. included deeper-water angelfishes Others parrotfishes and surgeonfishes. The effects of seasonally reduce reef-flat algal biomass might be felt indirectly by the damselfishe and angelfishes in the form of temporarily increased interspecifi competition for food (Barlow 1974), particularly since subtidal alga appear to flourish year round (Tsuda 1974). Thus far, the importanc of food resource availability has been stressed as being a significar motivating force in producing the seasonal variation in fish abundanc recorded during this study.

Certain nonherbivorous fish species may also take advantage c reproducing during the fall for a similar reason, since increasin plankton, algal production and detritus accumulations on the reef fla may support a significant biomass of benthic invertebrates as well Estimates of larval lives ranging from a few weeks to a few months ar reported for many fish species including gobies, blennies, butterfly fishes and wrasses (Sale 1980a). However, reproduction during th fall may be of secondary importance to these species since they ar not as directly dependent as herbivores on reef-flat algal biomass Thus, nonherbivorous species may spread their reproductive activitie over a longer period of time which may partially explain why pea abundances within the most ubiquitous carnivore group analyzed in thi study did not prove to be significantly correlated with the April t June period (Tables 13 and 14).

Despite numerical variations in seasonal abundance, the fis community in general seems to exhibit a fairly predictable annua cycle, returning to initial levels after a 12-month period. Th resulting low values of AV (Table 15) characterize the fish communit on the upper reef slope as being relatively persistent. The values c AV calculated for upper reef-slope fishes at Guam may be compared wit those calculated for organisms in less climatically stable region (Table 18). The values for Guam are slightly lower but similar t those for marine fishes in southern California (Ebeling <u>et al</u>. 1980) and they are lower than those for marine fishes in northern Californi (Miller and Geibel 1973; Burge and Schultz 1973) and for estuarin fishes in northern Florida (Livingston 1976).

The high annual constancy in species composition found durin this study also indicates the presence of a fairly persistent fis community on the scale analyzed (Tables 16 and 17). These result generally agree with other studies of fish assemblages made o

Table 18. Comparison of annual variation (AV) in ranked specie abundances calculated for some organisms living in differer geographical areas and climatic regimes. AV values measur the scope of yearly changes in species abundances, wher relatively low values indicate generally little change (See text and Wolda 1978).

AV ORGANISM		LOCATION	REFERENCE	
0.55*	Estuarine Fishes	North Florida	Livingston 1976	
0.34	Arthropods	Dry, unstable climate	Wolda 1978	
0.20*	Marine Fishes	Diablo Cove, Calif.	Burge & Schultz 197	
0.17*	Marine Fishes	Monterey Bay, Calif.	Miller & Geibel 197	
0.15	Arthropods	Humid, stable climate	Wolda 1978	
0.15	Marine Fishes	Santa Cruz Is., Calif.	Ebeling <u>et al</u> . 1980	
0.11	Marine Fishes	Naples Reef, Calif.	Ebeling <u>et al</u> . 1980	
0.11	Marine Fishes	Ipao Pt., Guam	This study	
0.09	Marine Fishes	Asan Pt., Guam	This study	

* = Values calculated by Ebeling et al. (1980)

relatively large areas of coral reef (Smith and Tyler 1972, 1975 Smith 1973; Gladfelter et al. 1980; Kock 1982), but are in contrast 1 the results of studies of very small natural fish assemblages (Sal 1977; Sale and Dybdahl 1975, 1978) and assemblages on comparativel small natural reefs (Nolan 1975; Sale 1980b) and artificial reef (Russell et al. 1974, 1977; Talbot et al. 1978). Some authors hav suggested that the differences in the spatial scales used among thes studies may be sufficient to result in the observed differences i variations in fish abundance and species composition (Gladfelter ϵ al. 1980; Sale 1977, 1980a, 1980b). Others have proposed that the time interval between compared censuses could greatly affect th outcome of such comparisons (Diamond and May 1977; Talbot et al 1978). In this regard, less variation is predicted for data collecte on larger spatial scales and after longer inter-census periods. Les variability may also result from comparisons of between-year censuse for a single month than from comparisons of monthly censuses mac within a single year.

The trend in observed overall species richness across dept (Tables 2 and 3) raises an interesting point concerning the diversit of coral reef fishes. The lower species richness found at 30 m in th present study would be expected since greater environmental stabilit (or conditions of relatively less frequent and less intense natura disturbances) would allow the forces of competition and predatic among species to act relatively more continuously over longer perioc of time. A result of this would be the elimination of less fi members from the community at a comparatively faster rate. Stabilit

should decrease with decreasing depth as natural disturbance primarily in the form of predation (Talbot et al. 1978) are expecte to more frequently or more intensely interrupt the competitive proce: by nonselectively removing members from the community, there enabling a greater number of species to coexist. This would occur : a depth above which the disturbances may become so frequent or inten: that species diversity becomes limited by severe environmental cond In this form of the "intermediate disturbance hypothesi: tions. (Connell 1978), it may be that the frequency and intensity of natura disturbances at the surface due to storms, large waves, surface currents etc. are replaced by the effects of increased predation (the submarine terrace down to a point somewhere near a depth of 18 r While both of these sources of localized small-scale disturbance wou be expected to influence species richness, predation is likely to t the most important on the upper reef slope in general (Talbot et a 1978). For the sake of comparison, data from two other depth-relate studies were drawn from the literature (Gosline 1965; Harmelin-Vivi€ In these studies, numbers of species were given for severa 1977). depth ranges. In order to graph all the data together, the number (species per depth range was assigned to the mean depth of each report ed range. The results (Fig. 26) proved to be remarkably consistent.

In summary, the coral-reef fishes on the upper reef slope at Gua exhibit seasonal variation that appear to result largely from reproductive activities which may be closely related to food resource availability. Seasonal fluctuations between carnivore and herbivor



Figure 26. Actual and mean species richness (number of species) observed at Asan Pt. and Ipao Pt. study sites by depth. ■ = Asan Pt. (total no. species); ▲ = Ipao Pt. (total no. species); □ = Asan Pt. (x̄ no. species/month); △ = Ipao Pt. (x̄ no. species/month); △ = Oahu, Hawaii (total no. species, Gosline 1965); ○ = Tulear Reef, Madagascar (total no. species, Harmelin-Vivien 1977).

groups overlap, but show depth-related temporal differences in per abundance that may be the result of adaptations to different for resources. Climatological and oceanographic phenomena seemed to pla indirect but important roles in the timing of seasonal fish abundand by their apparent influences on primary production cycles and repre The upper reef-slope fish community . ductive success in fishes. general exhibits a persistent structure that has evidently evolved response to a predictable environment of relative climatic stability The results of this study suggest several possible avenues of furthe investigation into the reproductive and trophic relationships amor coral-reef fishes. The applicability of the "intermediate disturbanc hypothesis" to mobile animals is demonstrated by fishes across dept on the upper reef slope. The information presented may be useful t fisheries biologists in managing inshore reef fisheries on both rela tively healthy and heavily impacted reefs at Guam (Amesbury 1978 Johannes 1979; Katnik 1982).

REFERENCES CITED

- Allen, G. R. 1975. Damselfishes of the south seas. T. F. H. Publ cations, Inc., Neptune City, N. J. 240p.
- Amesbury, S. A. 1978. Studies on the biology of the reef fishes (Guam. Part I: Distribution of fishes on the reef flats of Guar Part II: Distribution of eggs and larvae of fishes at select(sites on Guam. Univ. Guam Mar. Lab., Tech. Rept. 49. 65p.
- Amesbury, S. A. and R. F. Myers. 1982. Guide to the coastal re sources of Guam. Volume I: The fishes. Univ. Guam Press. 141
- Andrewartha, H. G. and L. C. Birch. 1954. The distribution and abur dance of animals. Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago, Il. 782p.
- Bakus, G. J. 1964. The effects of fish grazing on invertebrat evolution in shallow tropical waters. Allan Hancock Found Occas. Pap. 27:1-29.
 - _____. 1967. The feeding habits of fishes and primary production at Eniwetok, Marshall Islands. Micronesica 3:135-149.
- Bardach, J. E. 1959. The summer standing crop of fish on a shall Bermuda reef. Limnol. Oceanogr. 4:77-85.
 - _____, J. E. 1961. Transport of calcareous fragments by re∉ fishes. Science 133(3446):98-99.
- Barlow, G. W. 1974. Extraspecific imposition of social groupir among surgeonfishes (Pisces: Acanthuridae). J. Zool. Soc. Lonc 174:333-340.
- Benedek, P. 1970. The Hungarian countrywide light-trap network i the service of plant protecting forecasting. Europe Mediterranean Plant Protection Organ. Pub. A. 57:163-167.
- Birkeland, C. 1977. The importance of rate of biomass accumulatic in early successional stages of benthic communities to th survival of coral recruits. In: D. L. Taylor (ed.), Proc. 3r Intern. Coral Reef Symp. 1:15-21.
- Bradbury, R. H. and G. B. Goeden. 1974. The partitioning of the ree slope environment by resident fishes. <u>In</u>: A. M. Cameron <u>et al</u> (eds.), Proc. 2nd Intern. Coral Reef Symp. 1:167-178.

- Brock, R. E. 1979. An experimental study on the effects of grazin by parrotfishes and role of refuges in benthic community struc ture. Mar. Biol. 51:381-388.
- Brock, V. E. 1954. A preliminary report on a method of estimatin reef fish populations. J. Wildlife Mgmt. 18(3):297-306.
- Burge, R. T. and S. A. Schultz. 1973. The marine environment in th vicinity of Diablo Cove with special reference to abalones an bony fishes. Calif. Dep. Fish Game Mar. Resour., Tech. Rep. 19 433p.
- Chartock, M. A. 1972. The role of detritus in a tropical marin ecosystem: niche separation in congeneric ophiuroids, foo partitioning in cryptic invertebrates, and herbivore detritu production at Eniwetok, Marshall Islands. Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. S Calif. 177p.
- Connell, J. H. 1978. Diversity in tropical rain forests and cora reefs. Science 199:1302-1310.
- Cushing, D. H. 1959. On the nature of production in the sea. Fish Invest. Lond. Ser. 2. 21(5):27p.
- _____. 1975. Marine ecology and fisheries. Cambridge Univ Press, London. xiv + 278p.
- Diamond, J. M. and R. M. May. 1977. Species turnover rates c islands: dependence on census interval. Science 197:266-270.
- Doty, M. S. 1946. Critical tide factors that are correlated wit vertical distribution of marine algae and other organisms alon the Pacific coast. Ecology 27(4):315-327.
- Ebeling, A. W., R. J. Larson, W. S. Alevizon and R. N. Bray. 1980 Annual variability of reef fish assemblages in kelp forests of Santa Barbara, California. Fish. Bull. 78(2):361-377.
- Ehrlich, P. R. 1975. The population biology of coral-reef fishes Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 6:211-248.
- Emery, K. O. 1962. Marine geology of Guam. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof Pap. 403-B:1B-76B.
- Fisher, R. A. 1930. The genetical theory of natural selection Clarendon Press, Oxford. 272p.
- Gladfelter, W. B. J., J. C. Ogden and E. H. Gladfelter. 1980 Similarity and diversity among coral reef fish communities; comparison between Tropical Western Atlantic (Virgin Islands) ar Tropical Central Pacific (Marshall Islands) patch reefs. Ecolog 61:1156-1168.

Goldman, B. and F. H. Talbot. 1976. Aspects of the ecology of correct reef fishes. In: O. A. Jones and R. Endean (eds.), Biology an Geology of Coral Reefs. Vol. 3, Biol. 2:125-154.

- Gosline, W. A. 1965. Vertical zonation of inshore fishes in the upper water layers of the Hawaiian Islands. Ecolog. 46(1):823-831.
- Gundermann, N. and D. Popper. 1975. Some aspects of recolonization of coral rocks in Eilat (Gulf of Aqaba) by fish populations after poisoning. Mar. Biol. 33:109-17.
- Harmelin-Vivien, M. L. 1977. Ecological distribution of fishes (the outer slope of Tulear Reef (Madagascar). Proc. 3rd Intern Coral Reef Symp. 1:289-295.
- Harry, R. R. 1953. Ichthyological field data of Raroia atol Tuamotu archipelago. Atoll Res. Bull. 18:1-190.
- Hiatt, R. W. and D. W. Strasburg. 1960. Ecological relationships (the fish fauna on coral reefs of the Marshall Islands. Eco Monogr. 30:65-127.
- Hobson, E. S. 1965. Diurnal-nocturnal activity of some inshol fishes in the Gulf of California. Copeia 1965:291-302.
- . 1972. Activity of Hawaiian reef fishes during the evening and morning transitions between daylight and darkness Fish. Bull. 70:715-740.
 - ______. 1974. Feeding relationships of teleostean fishes (coral reefs in Kona, Hawaii. Fish. Bull. 72:915-1031.
- Johannes, R. E. 1978. Reproductive strategies of coastal marin fishes in the tropics. Env. Biol. Fish. 3(1):65-84.
 - . 1979. Improving shallow-water fisheries in the Northe Mariana Islands. Unpub. report to the Commonwealth Government (Northern Mariana Islands. 23p.
- Jones, R. S. 1968. Ecological relationships in Hawaiian and Johnster Island Acanthuridae (surgeonfishes). Micronesica 4:309-361.
- Kami, H. T. and I. I. Ikehara. 1976. Notes on the annual juveni siganid harvest in Guam. Micronesica 12:323-325.
- Katnik, S. E. 1982. Effects of fishing pressure on the reef flie fisheries of Guam. M. S. Thesis, Univ. of Guam. 62p.
- Kinsey, D. W. and A. Domm. 1974. Effects of fertilization on a corr reef environment - primary production studies. <u>In</u>: A. M. Camero <u>et al</u>. (eds.), Proc. 2nd Intern. Coral Reef Symp. 1:49-66.

- Kock, R. L. 1982. Patterns of abundance variation in reef fishe near an artificial reef at Guam. Env. Biol. Fish. 7(2):121-136
- Lack, D. 1954. The natural regulation of animal numbers. Oxfor Univ. Press, London. 343p.
- Lagler, K. F., J. E. Bardach and R. R. Miller. 1962. Ichthyology John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York. xiii + 545p.
- Larkin, P. A. 1978. Fisheries management an essay for ecologists Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 9:57-73.
- Lawson, G. W. 1957. Seasonal variation of intertidal zonation on the coast of Ghana in relation to tidal factors. J. Ecol 45:831-860.
- Livingston, R. J. 1976. Diurnal and seasonal fluctuations of orgar isms in a north Florida estuary. Estuarine Coastal Mar. Sci 4:373-400.
- Luckhurst, B. E. and K. Luckhurst. 1977. Recruitment patterns c coral reef fishes on the fringing reef of Curacao, Netherlanc Antilles. Can. J. Zool. 55:681-689.
- Marsh, J. A., Jr. 1974. Preliminary observations on the productivit of a Guam reef flat community. <u>In</u>: A. M. Cameron <u>et al</u>. (eds.) Proc. 2nd Intern. Coral Reef Symp. 1:139-145.
- Miller, D. J. and J. J. Geibel. 1973. Summary of blue rockfish ar lingcod life histories; a reef ecology study; and giant kelp <u>Macrocystis pyrifera</u>, experiments in Monterey Bay, California Calif. Dep. Fish Gam, Fish. Bull. 158. 137p.
- Miller, J. M., W. Watson and J. M. Leis. 1979. An atlas of commc nearshore marine fish larvae of the Hawaiian Islands. Sea Grar Misc. Rept. 80-02. 179p.
- Molles, M. C. 1978. Fish species diversity on model and natural ree patches: experimental insular biogeography. Ecol. Monogr 48:289-305.
- Munro, J. L., V. C. Gaut, R. Thompson and P. H. Reeson. 1973. The spawning season of Caribbean reef fishes. J. Fish. Biol 5:69-84.
- Myers, R. F. 1982. Fishes. <u>In</u>: R. H. Randall and L. G. Eldredg (eds.), Assessment of the shoalwater environments in the vicinit of the proposed OTEC development at Cabras Island, Guam. Univ Guam Mar. Lab., Tech. Rept. 79:132-173.

- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 1979. Climatolog cal Data: Annual Summary (Hawaii and Pacific). Nat. Climat Cent., Fed. Bldg., Asheville, N. C. 21p.
 - _____. 1980. Climatological Data: Annual Summary (Hawaii ar Pacific). Nat. Climate Cent., Fed. Bldg., Asheville, N. C. 26
- Nolan, R. S. 1975. The ecology of patch reef fishes. Ph.D. thesis University of California, San Diego. 230 pp.
- Odum, H. T. and E. P. Odum. 1955. Trophic structure and productivit of a windward coral reef community on Eniwetok atoll. Eco Monogr. 25:291-320.
- Odum, W. E. 1970. Utilization of the direct grazing and plan detritus food chains by the stripped mullet <u>Mugil cephalus</u> <u>In:</u> J. H. Steele (ed.), Marine Food Chains. Otto Koeltz Sc. Publ., Koenigstein: 222-240.
- Ogden, J. C. and P. L. Lobel. 1978. The role of herbivorous fishe and urchins in coral reef communities. Env. Biol. Fish 3:49-63.
- Peterson, R. D. 1972. Effects of light intensity on the morpholog and productivity of <u>Caulerpa</u> racemosa. Micronesica 8:63-86.
- Pianka, E. R. 1974. Evolutionary ecology. Harper and Row, New Yorl 356p.
- Powles, H. W. 1975. Abundance, seasonality, distribution and aspec of the ecology of some larval fishes off Barbados. Ph.D. thesi: McGill University.
- Randall, J. E. 1961. Overgrazing of algae by herbivorous marii fishes. Ecology 42:812.
 - _____. 1963. An analysis of the fish populations of artificia and natural reefs in the Virgin Islands. Caribb. J. Sc 3:31-47
 - _____. 1965. Grazing effect on seagrasses by herbivorous re fishes in the West Indies. Ecology 46:255-260.
- Randall, J. E. and W. Klausewitz. 1973. A review of the triggerfigenus <u>Melichthys</u>, with a description of a new species from the Indian Ocean. Senckenbergiana biol. 54(1/3):57-69.
- Randall, R. H. and L. G. Eldredge. 1976. Atlas of the Reefs a Beaches of Guam. Coastal Zone Management Section, Bureau Planning, Agana, Guam. 191p.
- Randall, R. H. and J. Holloman. 1974. Coastal survey of Guam. Uni Guam Mar. Lab., Tech. Rept. 14. 404p.

- Rosenblatt, R. H. and E. H. Hobson. 1969. Parrotfishes (Scaridae) (the eastern Pacific, with a generic rearrangement of t) Scarinae. Copeia 1969:434-453.
- Russell, B. C., G. R. V. Anderson and F. H. Talbot. 1977. Seasona ity and recruitment of coral-reef fishes. Aust. J. Mar. Fresh Res. 28:521-528.
- Russell, B. C., F. H. Talbot and S. Domm. 1974. Patterns of colon zation of artificial reefs by coral-reef fishes. <u>In</u>: A. I Cameron <u>et</u> <u>al</u>. (eds.), Proc. 2nd Intern. Coral Reef Symp 1:207-215.
- Sale, P. F. 1977. Maintenance of high diversity in coral reef-fi: communities. Am. Nat. 111:337-359

_____. 1978. Coexistence of coral-reef fishes - a lottery for living space. Env. Biol. Fish. 3:85-102.

_____. 1980a. Assemblages of fish on patch reefs - predictab or unpredictable? Env. Biol. Fish. 5(3):243-249.

_____. 1980b. The ecology of fishes on coral reefs. Oceanog Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev. 18:367-421.

Sale, P. F. and R. Dybdahl. 1975. Determinants of community structure for coral-reef fishes in an experimental habitat. Ecolog 56:1343-1355.

. 1978. Determinants of community structure for coral-rea fishes in isolated coral heads at lagoonal and reef slope site Oecologia 34:57-74.

- Shepard, J. W. and R. F. Myers. 1981. A preliminary checklist of tl fishes of Guam and the southern Mariana Islands. <u>In</u>: A workiu list of marine organisms from Guam. Univ. Guam Mar. Lab., Tecl Rept. 70:60-88.
- Smith, C. L. 1973. Small rotenone stations: a tool for studyin coral reef fish communities. Am. Mus. Novitates 2512:1-21.
- Smith, C. L. and J. C. Tyler. 1972. Space resource sharing in coral reef fish community. <u>In</u>: B. B. Collette and S. A. Earle (ed.) Results of the Tektite Program: ecology of coral ree fishes. Los Angeles Co. Mus. Sci. Bull. 14:125-170.
 - _____. 1973. Population ecology of a Bahamian suprabenth shore fish assemblage. Am. Mus. Novitates 2528:1-38.

_____. 1975. Succession and stability in fish communities (dome-shaped patch reefs in the West Indies. Am. Mus. Novitat(2572:1-18.

- Smith, S. V. and J. A. Marsh, Jr. 1973. Organic carbon production c the windward reef flat of Eniwetok atoll. Limnol. Oceanogr 18(6):953-961.
- Sokal, R. R. and F. J. Rohlf. 1969. Biometry: the principles ar practice of statistics in biological research. W. H. Freeman ar Co., San Francisco. xxi + 776p.
- Sokal, R. R. and P. H. A. Sneath. 1963. Principles of numerical tay onomy. W. H. Freeman and Co., San Francisco. 359p.
- Starck, W. A., II, and W. P. Davis. 1966. Night habits of fishes c Alligator Reef, Florida. Ichthyol. Aquarium J. 38:313-356.
- Talbot, F. H. 1965. A description of the coral structure of Tuti Reef (Tanganyika Territory, East Africa) and its fish fauna Proc. Zool. Soc. London 145(4):431-470.
- Talbot, F. H. and B. Goldman. 1972. A preliminary report on the diversity and feeding relationships of the reef fishes of Or Tree Island, Great Barrier Reef System. Proc. Coral Reef Symp. Mar. Biol. Assn. India, Cochin: 425-443.
- Talbot, F. H., B. C. Russell and G. R. V. Anderson. 1978. Coral-ree fish communities: unstable, high-diversity systems? Ecol Monogr. 48:425-440.
- Thompson, M. J. and T. W. Schmidt. 1977. Validation of the species/time random count technique sampling fish assemblages a Dry Tortugas. In: D. D. Taylor (eds.), Proc. 3rd Intern. Cora Reef Symp. 1:283-288.
- Thomson, D. A. and C. E. Lehner. 1976. Resilience of a rocky inter tidal fish community in a physically unstable environment. C Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 22:1-29.
- Tracey, J. I., Jr., S. O. Schlanger, J. T. Stark, D. B. Doan and H. E May. 1964. General geology of Guam. U. S. Geol. Surv. Prof Pap. 403-A:A1-A104
- Tsuda, R. T. 1974. Seasonal aspects of the Guam Phaeophyta (brov algae). <u>In</u>: A. M. Cameron <u>et al</u>. (eds.), Proc. 2nd. Interr Coral Reef Symp. 1:43-47.
- Vatanachi, S. 1972. The identification of fish eggs and larva obtained from the survey cruises in the South China Sea. Proc Indo-Pacific Fish. Council. 15th Sess., Sect. 3:111-143.
- Wanders, J. B. W. 1977. The role of benthic algae in the shall reef of Curacao (Netherlands Antilles) III: The significance (grazing. Aquatic Botany 3:357-390.

- Watson, W. and J. M. Leis. 1974. Ichthyoplankton in Kaneohe Bay Hawaii. A one-year study of fish eggs and larvae. Univ. Hawai Sea Grant Tech. Rept. 75-01:1-178.
- Williams, D. McB. and P. F. Sale. 1981. Spatial and temporal pat terns of recruitment of juvenile coral reef fishes to cora habitats within "One Tree Lagoon," Great Barrier Reef. Mar Biol. 65:245-253.
- Wolda, H. 1978. Fluctuations in abundance of tropical insects. Am Nat. 112:1017-1045.
- Wourms, J. P. and O. Bayne. 1973. Development viviparous brotuli fish, Dinematichthys ilucoeteoides. Copeia 1973:32-40.